FETS-FFA diagnostics

10. Jan. 2024 ISIS2/LhARA FFA Project Update

E. Yamakawa*, D. PDB, T. Canfer, K. Fazlee Diag. Dev. Sec. in Sync. Group (STFC)

FETS-FFA Ring Diagnostics

H	Commissioning phase	Required diagnostics	Required sensitivity	
igh Priori	phase1	 Faraday Cup (FC) Wire Scanner Monitor (WSM) Phosphor Screen (PS) Beam Loss Monitor (BLM) Beam Position Monitor (BPM) 	 < 1% of 3E11ppp < 1mm:accuracy, <0.1mm:resolution < 1mm:accuracy, <0.1mm:resolution < 1% of 3E11ppp, <i>τ</i><1us < 1mm in absolute/relative accuracy 	
ity	phase2	 DC Current Transformer (DCCT) Wall Current Monitor (WCM) Beam Size Monitor (Scraper) 	 < 1% of 3E11 [ppp], τ~100[ms] < 1% of 3E11 [ppp], BW~0.5-500 [MHz] < 1mm 	
Low	phase3	 Schottky analysis for coasting beam position 	• BW ~ 0.5 - 500MHz	
Large structure of diagnostics for non-destructive turn-by-turn measurements, whilst				

still providing required measurement sensitivity and resolutions.

BPM Updates

FETS-FFA BPM

- * A pair of electrodes (grey components in Fig.1), separated with a diagonal cut are placed along the beam direction.
- Earthed rings (blue components in Fig.1) are placed between adjacent electrodes to prevent electrical coupling between electrodes, improving position sensitivity.
- To demonstrate feasibility of preliminary design, half size prototype FETS-FFA BPM (Fig.2) has been manufactured and tested at ISIS Diag. Lab.
- Signal amplifier has been also provided with gain of 30dB with 70MHz bandwidth (Fig.3).



Fig.1. Preliminary design of FETS-FFA BPM.



Fig.3. Signal amplifier assembly.



Fig.2. Half size prototype FETS-FFA BPM.



Figure 12: Left: the shaker to excite the vertical betatron oscillation. Right: the shaker to excite the horizontal betatron oscillation.

Position Accuracy

- Beam positions are estimated by calibration coefficients measured at test bench at ISIS.
- Within 5 mm differences in beam position measured by between BPM and scraper (destructive monitor, aluminium plate).



Fig1. 2D plots of beam trajectories from 11 to 27MeV proton beams at KURNS.





Fig2. Orbits at different flat-top energies, compared by scraper measurements.

- Reasons to make these differences are under investigation.
- Different shapes of BPMs will be designed due to constraints of space availability in the test ring by 2025.



Figure 2.1: Top view of the 4-fold symmetry FDspiral FFA. (a) whole ring and (b) one superperiod. Wider red box shows B_f and narrower blue box shows B_d . Several black lines show injection and extraction orbits at 16 different operating points specified in Table2.3

BLM Updates

Monitor Requirements

- 1. 100% machine circumference (23m) should be covered uniformly.
- 2. At least a few par cell in beam direction.
- 3. BLMs on both radial sides of vacuum chamber.

	Time resolution	Constraints/Solutions
Ionisation Chamber	A slow integrated signal over a few ms (not decided yet) for MPS.	 Large structure, but easy to make. Sensitivity is unknown for low energy beam losses. To be proven by analysis and tests on FETS 3MeV H- beam.
Scintillation Monitor	To measure time structure of beam loss signals is required within 1 us.	 Space would be limited between chamber and Magnets. PMT is weak at the stray magnetic fields, so use an optical fibres to guide a signal from a scintillation plate.

Secondary Particles

• Motivation:

- Investigate an ideal monitor size to detect beam-loss signals generated by 1% of fullbeam intensity (1E11 ppp).
- Simulations by PHITS:
 - A tilted proton (pencil beam: 3MeV) beam hits the vacuum chamber in vertical.
 - SUS vacuum chamber is 10mm thick. (Not decided yet)
 - Counted secondary particles in the Scintillators (BLM: void box) which are placed outside and inside chamber.
- Conclusions:
 - *Scintillation plates/fibres* will detect secondary photons at outside vacuum chamber, *between York and chamber*.
 - Scintillation plates/fibres placed at inside(4)/ outside(1) shows about 60% difference in counting rate (*R*) of photons (*R*₄/*R*₁=1.6).
 - Still 40% photons can be detected at outside of chamber, and the fibres/plates will *not be necessary installed in chamber.*



Fig1. Diagrams of simple FFA magnets and chamber with BLM (void) boxes in PHITS.



⁹ Fig2. Secondaries coming in each BLM boxes.

Scintillation Materials and Geometries



Figure 1. Example of target (Chamber) and detector (NaI) geometry in PHITS.

Table 3. Property of scintillation materials.

Property	Value	
Beam Energy [MeV]	3	
Target Thickness [mm]	10	
Target Material	SUS-304	
Target Density [g/cm3]	8.03	
Detector Material	YAP(Ce), YSO(Ce), NaI	
Detector Thickness [mm]	1, 5, 10	
Target and Detector Area [cm2]	10×10	

• Suitable materials and geometries have been studied for Scintillation BLMs in the test ring:

- neutror

FETS with supports from Detector Group in ISIS.

Selectronics treatments should be performed in 2024.

Energy [MeV

Table 2. Property of scintillation materials.

Chambe Nal

Property	YSO(Ce) 2	YAP(Ce) 3	NaI(Tl) 4
Decay Times [ns]	50-70	28	230
Light Yield [photons/MeV]	10,000	25,000	55,000
Density [g/cm3]	4.5	5.37	3.67
Melting Point [K]	2273	1875	924



Figure 2. Fluence of each secondary particle in 1 mm (left), 5 mm (middle) and 10 mm (right) thickness of NaI detectors



Energy [MeV]



ig 3. Response function of Nal and YAP detectors with different thicknesses.

Ionisation Chamber BLM

- ISIS-IC-BLMs are the model for FFA-IC-BLMs:
 - Ar-gas filled in between two cylindrical tubes.
 - Electron collection:
 - Inner tube: grounded via downstream amplifier
 - outer tube: negative voltages are applied.
- Ideal geometry, types of gas, bias voltages should finished in 2024.



Fig. 1. Sketch of Ring-BLM used in ISIS [1].



Fig. 2. Test demo-BLMs on FETS beam dump.

[1] M.A. Clarke-Gayther, "Global Beam Loss Monitoring using Long Ionisation Chambers at ISIS", *Proc.C* 940627 (1994)

Beam Intensity Monitor Updates

Monitor Requirements

- 1. The intensity resolution of **all Intensity Monitors** is **within 1% of injected beam** (maximum intensity is 3E11).
- 1.Maximum peak current for WCM: 160mA (3E11 ppp for 300ns)
- 2. Minimum peak current for WCM: 1.6mA (3E9 ppp for 300ns)
- 3. Coasting beam intensity can be one order higher in ideal.
- 2.**WCM**:
 - 1. The bunched structure with length of about 300-350 ns should be identified.
 - 2. Reading at least 100 harmonics (400-500 MHz) of frequency component is required.
- 3.**DCCT**:
 - 1.At least for 4 pulsed durations (80 -100 ms) should be identified.
- 4. Physical placements:
 - One FC in the ring or on FETS beam line.
 - One WCM at a straight in the ring.
 - One DCCT at a straight in the ring.

FFA-WCM model in CST



WCM: wave propagation in CST

- E-fields in HF solver (time domain).
- R=1.56Ω x 1, 1.56x48Ω
- X=0mm, x=296.5mm



- Microwave propagates in x,y,z directions. 1.
- 2. Position of beam changes traveling time of wave in ceramic spacer and RF shield, causing a position dependency of pickup signals on resistor.
- 3. Large quantity of resistors covering ceramic spacer help to terminate microwaves at resistors.

WCM: CST HF simulations Sum signal of all Elements:FT3M



Fig. 1. Time response of WCM with different numbers of resistors. Excitation frequency is DC-500MHz in this simulation.

Long-Time Constant CT Monitor by Negative Impedance Converter

- 1. Input impedance (Z) becomes close to zero by adjusting the ratio of four resistors, resulting in a large decay constant of the droop ($\tau = L/Z$).
- Turn number of the coil wire (N) should be large enough to increase L, but wire resistance is increased.
- 3. Trimmer should be placed in R1 to cancel the coil resistance and adjust the ratio between four resistors.
- 4. Test was performed in the Lab with FT3M core.
 - 1. Time constant is 185 with R1=5.140 Ω (Top in Fig.19).
 - Droop time is 0.53% reduction over 1 s (Top in Fig.19).
 - 3. When the input current is 1 mA, the decay constant is not consistent as the base was not stable.
 - Current sensitivity of NIC is sufficient to detect the required minimum intensity of 3E9 ppp (1.6 mA with 300 ns).







Figure 17: The block diagram of the test core with NIC.



Figure 19: The NIC output signal (blue) with the input pulse (red) of 68 mA (top) and of 1 mA (bottom). 17

Beam Profile Monitor Updates

Commissioning Plan

- 1. In the commissioning phase 1:
 - 1. Single wire and scintillation screen are used to identify the beam position and beam profile at injection straight in the FFA ring.
 - 2. Single wire or scintillation screen will be used to identify how far the beam goes around in the ring if the BPM does not work. This includes profile/position of intermediate and final energy of beam.
 - 3. **Multi-wire profile monitor** will be used pulse-by-pulse measurement at injection in the ring.
- 2. In the commissioning phase 2 and 3:
 - 1. Single wire and scintillation screen are placed at **extraction line (outside of ring)** and measures beam position and beam profile.
 - 2. **Multi-wire profile monitor** will be used pulse-by-pulse measurement at extraction line.

Screen Monitor: Thermal Analysis

* P46 and YAG:Ce : 5um thickness

- Screen substrate is made by 2mm thickness of quartz.
- Screen holder is made by 3mm thickness of aluminium.
- * Cooling: Radiation and convection.

Heat power	18 W	
Thermal conductivity of air	$10 W/K/m^2$	
Emissivity in vacuum	0.2	
Room temperature	300 K	



- * YAG: crystal
- Ceramics (BeO)
- P46: Powder type



Fig. 1. ANSYS steady-state thermal analysis.



WSSN:Heat Damage Test on FETS ²¹ ²⁰ ²⁰



0



Figure 17: Analytical heat estimation when the beam pulse is 200 us pulse with 28 mA peak intensity. The initial temperature was 27 $^{\circ}$ C. The emissivity of each diameter of CNT was chosen to be 0.2.



192.5 -

190.0

Figure 5: Light emission from the $\phi 50 \,\mu\text{m}$ (left) and the $\phi 20 \,\mu\text{m}$ (right, in the circle) CNTs with the 3 MeV FETS H⁻ beam. The wire was aligned at the centre of the beam pipe when the photo was taken.



As beam intensity in FETS-FFA (1E11) is 100 times smaller (1E13 in this measurement), therefore heat damage would be not a crucial issue in test ring.



S-WSM:Demonstration at KURNS

- Simulation was performed with vFFA parameters (Fig.1).
- To demonstrate monitor concepts, beam tests were performed at KRNS FFA ring.
 - Test probe and wire frame were designed and manufactured.
 - φ10um was tested at 13.5 MeV orbit, where turn separation of about 30umm.
 - Pulse signal was measured by test monitor, which is within same order of the one measured by scraper.



Fig. 1. Estimated beam profile at around 3MeV (left) and 12MeV (right) with vFFA parameters. Average turn separations are 64um for 3MeV and 25um for 12MeV. Scattering angle and energy loss of particle at wires are neglected.



Fig.12: Scattering upon passage through a foil. Trajectories of particles scattered by the r.m.s. angle, Eq. (18),

Summary and Future Plan

- Variety monitors are essential to be developed for the test ring. FETS-FFA test ring is a **TEST MACHINE** to test diagnostics monitors as well.
- Collaborations with JPARC(consultants)/PSI(exchange knowledge)/Kyoto University(Electronics development for CT monitor) have been started.
 - BPMs: 50% progress
 - Focus on design works.
 - BLMs: 50% progress
 - Design study for both BLMs finished in 2024.
 - Beam tests on FETS or JPARC to benchmark design studies.
 - Intensity Monitors: 30-50% progress
 - WCM, NIC-CT: Design study and build test monitors at ISIS in 2024.
 - Faraday cup: Design study by students in Industrial Placement Scheme in 2024-2025.
 - Profile monitors: 50-70% progress
 - Update mechanical designs of S-WSM and M-WSM in 2024-2025.
 - Screen tests on FETS or JPARC.

Fin.

Decay Constant

- Expected (ideal) time constant (τ) : FT3M: 4us , FR68: 61ns (μr~3e4 for FT3M, μr~100 for FR68).
- When the frequency of excitation signal is **DC 5MHz**, measured time constants in CST are **good agreement** with expected time constants.

