





Using Machine Learning to Speed up ATLAS Tracking

VIKTORIA ERDI-KRAUSZ

15/08/23





Agenda

- 1. Background
 - $\,\circ\,$ ATLAS and ITk
 - FastTrackFinder
- 2. Project
 - Aims and Overview
 - Introduction to Machine Learning
- 3. Data Exploration
- 4. Model Development
- 5. Results
- 6. Implementations and Next Steps





Background



- 1. ATLAS inner tracker replaced by all silicon ITk detector.
 - Inclined disks
 - More forward coverage

2.Collisions occur at origin and where particles hit the detectors are connected to create tracks.

- Tracking starts in pixel detector, later extended to strip detector.
- We only focus on doublets in the pixel detector.





Background









Project Overview

- 1. Aims
 - Create machine learning model to speed up tracking.
- 2. Overview
 - Use track angle and cluster width to reduce number of possible doublets.



- 3. Random Forrest
 - Type of machine learning model which uses a number of decision trees to identify trends in dataset.

iteration 1





Data Exploration

- 1. Data used: TT bar with 200 pileup
 - Signal: any particle produced from the physics interaction.
 - Background: Low momentum tracks.
- 2. Issues with large data quantity for 1 event:
 - 2380 signals
 - 10,091,380 background
- 3. Data must be reduced to workable volume whilst staying representative of each region.
- 4. Data extracted for 55 events and down sampling was implemented leaving:
 - 375,034 signals
 - 750,068 background
- 5. Different trends observed in each part of the detector i.e. barrel, endcap, inclined.









Model Development

- 1. Model was trained to maximise efficiency, not purity.
- 2. Efficiency (/recall) is the number of points correctly classified:

 $\text{Recall} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$

- Signal recall is the number of signals correctly identified (i.e. (PREDICTED signal and IS signal) / ((PREDICTED signal and IS signal) & (PREDICTED background and IS signal)))
- Background recall is the number of background correctly identified (i.e. (PREDICTED background and IS background) / ((PREDICTED background and IS background) & (PREDICTED signal and IS background)))
- 3. All three models must achieve a minimum efficiency of 95%.
- 4. Existing ML model for current detector has a background recall of ~40%.





Model Development - Tuning

- 1. Tuning a model ensures the model fits the true trend of the provided data to improve chosen metric.
 - A too simple model is defined as underfitted.
 - Overfitted model fits to the noise in the data set.



- 2. Cross validation can be used to reduce the chance of overfitting.
 - Split train and test data with 70-30 split.
 - 5 fold cross validation performed on training, large variation in performances suggests overfitting.



Viktoria Erdi-Krausz





Model Development - Tuning

- Tuning works by running model using range of hyperparameters and finding the optimum values (where the efficiency is the highest).
- 2. Example using max_depth:
 - Max_depth is the number of branches in each tree of a random forest.
 - Increases max_depth increases complexity and therefore increase in accuracy.
 - Increasing too far causes overfitting and therefore a drop in accuracy.
 - Optimum value identified as max_depth = 7







Results

1. Achieved the desired >95% efficiency for all 3 models whilst keep accuracy high enough to reject significant amount of background.



Barrel Predictions



Endcap Predictions

• Background Recall = 85.6%

Inclined Disks Predictions



• Signal Recall = 95.1%

• Signal Recall = 98.0%

• Signal Recall = 95.8%

Viktoria Erdi-Krausz





Implementation and Next Steps

- 1. Using the models' predictions data is grouped with respect to cluster width and range of track angles identified where data is most probable to be signal.
 - Create LUT.
 - Causes some loss in accuracy.
- 2.LUT can be implemented into C++.
 - FTF should be run implementing LUT to assess the performance and how speed of program is affected.









THANK YOU!

VIKTORIA ERDI-KRAUSZ

11/08/23