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## FETS-FFA lattice

## FETS-FFA lattice (latest)

- 4-fold superperiod lattice
- Orbit radius at injection at drift space is 3.6 m .
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Dynamic aperture is $\sim 100$ pi mm mrad at maximum.

$\left[Q_{u}=3.06\right]$

Figure 2.8: 3 MeV and 12 MeV orbits for 16 operating points.

|  | doublet 1 | doublet 2 | doublet 3 | doublet 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r_{\min }[\mathrm{m}]$ | 3.5835 | 3.7143 | 3.6684 | 3.5900 |
| $r_{\max }[\mathrm{m}]$ | 4.1688 | 4.2695 | 4.3561 | 4.2324 |
| orbit excursion [mm] | 585 | 555 | 688 | 642 |

Table 2.2: Horizontal beam size and acceptance

|  | normalised | un-normalised |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $[\pi \mathrm{mm}$ mrad $]$ | Physical size <br> $[\pi \mathrm{mm}$ mrad $]$ | $[\mathrm{mm}]$ |  |
| beam core | 10 | 125 | $\pm 20$ |
| collimator acceptance | 20 | 250 | $\pm 28$ |
| physical acceptance | $40-80$ | $500-100$ | $\pm 40-57$ |

Table 2.5: Vertical beam size and acceptance

|  | normalised <br> $[\pi \mathrm{mm}$ mrad $]$ | un-normalised <br> $[\pi \mathrm{mm}$ mrad $]$ | Physical size <br> $[\mathrm{mm}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| beam core | 10 | 125 | $\pm 16$ |
| collimator acceptance | 20 | 250 | $\pm 23$ |
| physical acceptance | $40-80$ | $500-100$ | $\pm 32-45$ |



## Baseline lattice changed!






16-fold symmetry
Straight length: 0.95 m
Dynamic aperture: 110 pi mm mrad Field index k: 8.00
Spiral angle: 45 degree
Magnet families: 2

4-fold symmetry
Straight length: $1.55 \mathrm{~m}, 0.90 \mathrm{~m}, 0.45 \mathrm{~m}$ Dynamic aperture: 80 pi mm mrad Field index k: 7.40
Spiral angle: 30 degree Magnet families: 8

Horizontal beam size is larger.

## Comparison between FETS-FFA and LhARA



## Goals (success criteria)

| FETS-FFA | LhARA |
| :--- | :--- |
| Demonstrate a high intensity FFA <br> operation with minimum beam loss. | Demonstrate acceleration of multiple <br> ions with variable energy (and <br> variety of time structure for Stage 1). |
|  |  |
| - Transverse emittance and aperture | - Transverse emittance and aperture |
| - - Large | - Small |
| - Momentum spread - Small | - Momentum spread - Large |
| - Pulse length - micro second | - Pulse length - micro second |

## Parameters (physics)

|  | FETS-FFA (16-fold sym) | FETS-FFA (4-fold sym) | LhARA | notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Momentum | p: 0.075-0.151 GeV/c [3-12 MeV] | <- same | $\begin{gathered} \text { p: } 0.168-0.504 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}[15-127 \mathrm{MeV}] \\ \mathrm{C}^{6}+: 0.173-0.505 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { x } 2.3 \text { at inj } \\ & \times 3.3 \text { at ext } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Radius | 4.0-4.42 m | 4.0-4.8 m (now 3.6-4.4 m) | 2.92-3.48m |  |
| Number of cell | 16 | 4 per s.p. and 4 s.p makes a ring | 10 |  |
| Lattice | Doublet (FD) spiral | <- same | Single (F) spiral |  |
| Magnet packing factor | F: 0.20, D: 0.10 | <- same | F: 0.34 |  |
| Nominal total and cell tune | $\begin{gathered} (3.41,3.39) \\ (0.213125,0.211875) \end{gathered}$ | <- same | $\begin{gathered} (2.83,1.22) \\ (0.283,0.122) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Number of particle | A few ${ }^{1011}$ protons | <- same | $10^{9}$ protons | $\sim 1 / 100$ |
| Physical emittance | 125 pi mm mrad (100\%) | <- same | 0.41 pi mm mrad (RMS) | ~1/1000 |
| Dynamic aperture | > 1250 pi mm mrad | <- same | > 300 pi mm mrad |  |
| Momentum spread | A few 0.1\% | <- same | $\begin{gathered} +/-2 \% \text { at inj } \\ +/-0.5 \% \text { at ext } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Initial bunch length | ~300 ns | <- same | 8.1 ns (right after injection) | $\sim 1 / 100$ |
| Space charge tune shift | -0.3 | <- same | -0.8 | ~10 |

## Parameters (hardware)

|  | FETS-FFA (16-fold sym) | FETS-FFA (4-fold sym) | LhARA | notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum field | 0.9 T | 1.4 T (to be lowered) | 1.4 T | Definition may be different |
| Spiral angle | 45 degree | 30 degree | 48.7 degree | Spiral angle |
| Magnet families | 2 | 8 | 1 | Magnet families |
| Nominal kvalue | 8.00 | 7.40 | 5.33 | Nominal kvalue |
| Field index k and spiral | $k=6-11$ and 45 degree | $\mathrm{k}=6-9$ and 30 degree | $\mathrm{k}=5.33$ and 48.7 degree | Tuneability is essential for reta ren |
| Magnet gap | $\sim 120 \mathrm{~mm}$ | <- same | 47 mm |  |
| Repetition of RF | $100-120 \mathrm{~Hz}$ | <- same | $10-100 \mathrm{~Hz}$ |  |
| RF frequency | $1.8-3.4 \mathrm{MHz}$ | <- same | $2.89-6.48 \mathrm{MHz}$ |  |
| RF voltage | 6 kV | <- same | 4 kV |  |
| Straight length | 0.95 m | 1.55, 0.90, 0.45 m | 1.21 m | Straight length |
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# Extension to higher energy with 16 -fold symmetry lattice 

## Can FETS-FFA and LhARA share the design?




- Both lattices look similar (spiral FFA), but
- FETS-FFA has more constraint, i.e. tune choice ( $Q x \sim Q y$ ) for high intensity operation.
- FFAS-FFA uses doublet (normal and reverse bend) while LhARA uses singlet (normal bend only).
- Due to reverse bends, injection and extraction momentum have to be lower in FETS-FFA with similar circumference.
- At injection, particle momentum is x2.3 higher in LhARA.

Is it possible to FETS-FFA lattice for higher momentum?


## Eliminate reverse bends

- Very roughly, a normal magnet bends theta and a reverse magnet bends -0.25 theta

- Without a reverse bend,
- Net bend = \theta
- Momentum can be $33 \%$ more or energy $78 \%$ more.
- FETS-FFA 3-12 MeV lattice can accept up to $\mathbf{2 1} \mathbf{~ M e V}$.
- If the maximum field of the magnet is $\sim 1.4 \mathrm{~T}$ instead of $\sim 0.9 \mathrm{~T}$, the top energy could be higher
- Another gain of a factor 2 makes $\sim 50 \mathrm{MeV}$.



## How about using both Bf and Bd as normal bends?

- Very roughly, a normal magnet bends \theta and a reverse magnet bends $-0.25 \backslash$ theta
- Net bend = \theta $-0.25 \backslash$ theta/2 $=0.75 \backslash$ theta (nominal FETS-FFA design).
- With both Bf and Bd as normal bends,
- Net bend $=$ \theta +0.25 ltheta $=1.25$ theta
- Momentum can be $67 \%$ more or 2.8 times higher in energy.
- FETS-FFA 3-12 MeV lattice can accept up to 34 MeV .
- However, field gradient $k$-value has to be smaller.
- Orbit excursion is roughly doubled when k change from 6 to 3.
- Unless the magnet aperture is wider than the baseline design, the max energy is limited by horizontal aperture.
- Max energy is similar to Bf only lattice.
- $k$-value becomes smaller because the edge angle is smaller.


# Extension to higher energy with 4-fold symmetry lattice 

## FETS-FFA baseline is now 4-fold lattice

- FETS-FFA lattice evolved since I have done the calculation in the previous pages.
- Main differences which have an impact to this study is
- Spiral angle is now 30 degree ( 45 degree before).
- Maximum field is $20 \sim 30 \%$ higher than before.
- On the other hand, the number of magnet families is 8 (2 before).
- We could test many different excitation pattern. Previously only ( $\mathrm{Bf}, \mathrm{O}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{Bf}, \mathrm{Bf}$ ).
- e.g. (Bf1,0, Bf2,0, Bf3,0, Bf4,0), (Bf1,Bf1’, Bf2,Bf2', 0,0, Bf4, Bf4'), etc.




## 5 different ways of operation (no reverse bend)

FETS-FFA


(3.41, 3.39)
$\mathrm{k}=7.49$
12 MeV


(2.18, 1.05)
k=3.59
19.5 MeV

Possible ways to extend maximum energy


(2.87, 1.35)
$\mathrm{k}=5.07$
19.7 MeV


(1.68, 1.77)
k=1.55
20.2 MeV

(1.48, 1.31)
k=1.06
$30.9 \mathrm{MeV}^{*}$

## Without constraints of maximum beta function







(2.18, 0.51) $\mathrm{k}=4.5$
41 MeV

(2.19, 0.26)
k=3.8
36 MeV

(1.65, 1.45)
k=1.5
32 MeV

(1.68, 1.52)
k=1.5
34 MeV

(1.49, 1.09)
k=1.1
61 MeV*

Science and Technology Facilities Council

* Injection energy has to be above 40 MeV .


## Conclusion

## Conclusion

- By eliminating reverse bend magnets or change the polarity of reverse bends, top energy of FETSFFA can be higher than 12 MeV .
- 16 -fold symmetry lattice (with modest increase of magnetic field) can accept beams up to $\sim 50 \mathrm{MeV}$.
- 4-fold symmetry lattice (new baseline) can accept beams up to $\sim 40 \mathrm{MeV}$
- or $\sim 60 \mathrm{MeV}$ but injection energy has to be more than 15 MeV or vacuum aperture has to be larger.


## Thank you for your attention

## An option to increase momentum range in FETS-FFA

Eliminate the reverse bends. Make the strength zero Similar to LhARA lattice with only normal bends.
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## My personal summary

- FETS-FFA is a more demanding FFA: double (FD) spiral, tuneability, space charge mitigation, etc.
- Hardware development goals are similar.
- If we have a decent design of FETS-FFA, it will work for LhARA except momentum acceptance is not enough.
- LhARA design requirements can be satisfied to some extent with (minor) modifications of FETSFFA.
- Increase maximum field.
- Increase good field region to accommodate momentum ratio of 3 (FETS-FFA is 2).
- Single turn injection system without charge exchange.
- Have to redo the calculation for 4-fold symmetry baseline lattice.

