

#### First Results from the Fermilab Muon g-2 Experiment

**RAL HEP Seminar** Saskia Charity (FNAL) 02 June 2021







## History of muon g-2 discrepancy

• The BNL E821 measurement had a 0.54 ppm (540 ppb) uncertainty



- BNL-SM discrepancy: 2.4 ppm
- FNAL target is 100 ppb stat. ⊕ 100 ppb syst.
- Today's talk is on a dataset of similar size to BNL (~10 billion  $\mu^+$ )







## Magnetic moments

• The muon has a magnetic moment that couples to its spin via the gyromagnetic ratio, *g* 

$$\overrightarrow{\mu} = g \frac{q}{2m} \overrightarrow{s}$$

*g* = gyromagnetic ratio

 $\mu$  = magnetic moment

- Magnetic moment (spin) interacts with external magnetic fields
- Spin precesses at a rate determined by g







$$a_{\mu}^{\rm SM} = a_{\mu}^{\rm QED} + a_{\mu}^{\rm EW} + a_{\mu}^{\rm HVP} - a_{\mu}^{\rm HVP} + a_{\mu}^{\rm HVP} - a_{\mu}^{\rm HVP}$$



$$+ a_{\mu}^{\mathsf{HLbL}}$$

	$a_{\mu}^{\rm SM}$ portion	$\delta a_{\mu}^{\rm SM}$ portion
oop)	$\sim$ 99.99%	$\sim$ 0.001%
oop)	$\sim 1~{\rm ppm}$	~0.2%
<mark>/e</mark> tice)	$\sim 59 \; \rm ppm$	~ <b>84%</b>
<mark>/e</mark> :tice)	$\sim 1~{\rm ppm}$	~ <b>16%</b>









#### **Muons at Fermilab**



• Lower instantaneous rate but larger integrated rate than BNL

#### Chicago



- ~10,000  $\mu$ + (from 10<sup>12</sup> p) at 3.1 GeV every 10 ms
- (g-2): 1/3 of proton cycles, neutrino experiments 2/3
- Extra 900m of instrumented beamlines





## 4 years to build (2 years magnet "shimming")







2013 he Big Move with along East Co Around tip of Florida Northwest through Gulf of Mexico iorth through Massasippi River In there are Hiroris under an 2015

#### 2016 - 2017



#### **Run-1 data taking** began Feb 2018







#### The magnetic storage ring





#### Magnetic field 3 times better than goal (BNL)



x (cm)



#### spin precession frequency ω<sub>s</sub>

cyclotron frequency ω<sub>c</sub>









#### **Measuring** $a_{\mu}$

To extract  $a_{\mu}$  we need to know both  $\omega_a$  and B to high precision



 $\overrightarrow{\omega_a}$  $\mathcal{M}_{\mu}\mathcal{C}$ 22 ppb 0.0003 ppb 3 ppb  $\mu_{\rho}$ 



#### **Measuring** $a_{\mu}$



azimuthally averaged

the transient magnetic field



# Storing and detecting the beam







#### **Beam Injection**



- Monitor beam profile before entrance with scintillating X and Y fibres
- Get time profile of beam using scintillating pad
- ~125 ns wide



 Cancel B-field during injection using Inflector, so muons can get into the ring





#### 'Kick' onto correct orbit



- Injected muons are 77 mm away from the ideal orbit radius
- Apply fast radial magnetic pulse to "kick" muons onto correct path







#### **Beam focusing**



- Electrostatic quadrupoles focus the beam vertically
- Quad plates cover 43% of total circumference







#### Calorimeters



- 24 electromagnetic calorimeters
- Arrays of 6x9 PbF<sub>2</sub> crystals (2.5 x 2.5 cm<sup>2</sup> x 14 cm) (15 X0)
- Readout by SiPMs to 800 MHz WFDs





#### **Tracking Detectors**



- Two tracking stations, each with 8
- Track the decay e+ trajectories back to their decay point



#### **Measuring** ω<sub>a</sub>



e+ preferentially emitted in direction of the muon spin



#### Number of e<sup>+</sup> above threshold energy oscillates with frequency $\omega_a$

Simply count number of e+ above threshold vs time





#### **Precession in one hour of data**



$$N_e(t) \simeq N_0 e^{-\frac{t}{\gamma \tau}}$$

 $\left[1 - A\cos(\omega_a t + \phi_a)\right]$ 





#### **Beam corrections**

$$\vec{\omega}_a = \frac{e}{mc} \left[ a_\mu \vec{B} - \left( a_\mu - \frac{1}{\gamma^2 - 1} \right) \vec{\beta} \times \vec{E} - a_\mu \left( \frac{\gamma}{\gamma + 1} \right) \left( \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{B} \right) \vec{\beta} \right]$$

Injected beam has a small vertical component

- focus vertically with quads
- introduces two additional terms which reduce the precession frequency

Minimize the first by choosing  $\gamma = 29.3$ 

- $p_{\mu} = 3.1 \text{ GeV}$  ("magic" momentum)
- radius of ring = 7.11 m for 1.45 T field

Need to apply two corrections to  $a_{\mu}$ ...

#### **E-field correction**



#### **Pitch correction**

Both corrections depend on quadrupole field strength and are < 0.5 ppm





#### **Beam measurements**



- $\bullet$ position
- Muons oscillate radially and vertically at different frequencies, according to the quadrupole strength



# FFT magnitude [a.u.]

## Fitting for $\omega_a$

- Fourier transform of the residuals to the fit shows contributions from the movements of the beam, pileup and muon losses
- To account for these effects, additional terms included in the final fit function (24 parameters)





#### **Field measurement**



 $(1 + C_e + C_p + C_{ml} + C_{pa})$  $\times M(x, y, \phi) \rangle (1 + B_k + B_q)$ 







## Measuring the magnetic field









## The Storage Ring Magnet

Field changes monitored continuously by 378 fixed NMR probes









B = 1.45 T

12 C-shaped yokes

6 poles per yoke (3 upper, 3 lower)

Field determined by pole separation



## The field inside the storage ring



# Ideally, the field would be uniform everywhere

In practice, we have gradients — slight variations in the field over the storage region





#### Measurement procedure

 $\tilde{\omega}_p'(T_r) = f_{calib} \langle \omega_p(x, y, \phi) \times M(x, y, \phi) \rangle (1 + B_k + B_a)$ 

NMR probe calibration factor Magnetic field weighted by the muon beam distribution

- B field measurement:

  - Track field changes over time

**Corrections from transient** magnetic fields

• Measure the spatial distribution of the field components everywhere in the storage ring

Weight by muon intensity and distribution to obtain average field experienced by the muons







## The in-vacuum trolley

- The trolley is a cylindrical shell housing 17 NMR probes
- Every ~3 days, stop the beam for 3 hours and perform trolley run to precisely map field gradients around ring
- > 8000 azimuthal 'slices' measured in one trolley run





**Fermilab** 





## A typical trolley run



#### Trolley measures field gradients at >8000 azimuthal slices



Terms describing different field gradients are extracted from a 2D fit to the slice



#### Interpolating between trolley runs





- Between trolley runs, use fixed probes to track changes in the field over time
- Synchronize trolley and fixed probe measurements
- Synchronization drifts over time due to drifts in higher-order terms





#### Measurement procedure

NMR probe calibration factor Magnetic field weighted by the muon beam distribution

Relate protons in our NMR probes (petroleum jelly) to those in spherical water sample

 $:\frac{\hbar\tilde{\omega}_{p}'(T)}{2\mu_{p}'(T)} = \frac{\hbar\tilde{\omega}_{p}'(T)}{2} \frac{\mu_{e}(H)}{\mu_{p}'(T)} \frac{\mu_{e}}{\mu_{e}(H)}$ 

proton shielded in spherical water sample

# $\tilde{\omega}_p'(T_r) = f_{calib} \langle \omega_p(x, y, \phi) \times M(x, y, \phi) \rangle (1 + B_k + B_a)$

**Corrections from transient** magnetic fields

$$\frac{1}{M_{e}} \qquad a_{\mu} = \frac{\omega_{a}}{\tilde{\omega}_{p'}} \frac{\mu_{p'}(T_{r})}{\mu_{e}(H)} \frac{\mu_{e}(H)}{\mu_{e}} \frac{m_{\mu}}{m_{e}} \frac{g}{Z}$$

This ratio measured to 10.5 ppb at a water temperature  $T_r = 34.7^{\circ}C$ 





## **Magnetic Field Calibration**

#### Convert trolley measurements to the measurement standard

#### Absolute calibration: cross-check the calibration probe in MRI magnet at ANL



spherical water sample, known to high precision





novel <sup>3</sup>He sample, different systematics

Cylindrical water sample, highly symmetric

![](_page_31_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_12.jpeg)

#### **Measurement procedure**

NMR probe calibration factor Magnetic field weighted by the muon beam distribution

![](_page_32_Figure_4.jpeg)

## $\tilde{\omega}_p'(T_r) = f_{calib} \langle \omega_p(x, y, \phi) \times M(x, y, \phi) \rangle (1 + B_k + B_a)$

**Corrections from transient** magnetic fields

![](_page_32_Picture_7.jpeg)

## **The Muon-Weighted Field**

#### Obtain the average field experienced by the muons

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Weight the magnetic field distribution as a function • Weighted field is ~120 ppb smaller than the of time by unweighted dipole field (dominated by the size • The number of muons as a function of time, N(t) of the largest field gradient)

  - The beam distribution as a function of time

![](_page_33_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_8.jpeg)

# Measurement procedure $\tilde{\omega}_p'(T_r) = f_{calib} \langle \omega_p(x, y, \phi) \times M(x, y, \phi) \rangle (1 + B_k + B_a)$

NMR probe calibration factor Magnetic field weighted by the muon beam distribution

Corrections from transient magnetic fields

- Two pulsed systems in the experiment for beam storage: kickers and quads
- Their pulsing introduces transient magnetic fields during muon storage times
- measured field
- Dedicated measurement campaigns to quantify transient corrections

**Corrections from transient** magnetic fields

• Fixed probes do not see the full effect due to shielding; need to apply corrections to the

![](_page_34_Picture_13.jpeg)

#### **Kicker transients**

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fixed probes see reduced effect due to shielding — dedicated measurement to determine correction

![](_page_35_Figure_4.jpeg)

Uncertainty dominated by knowledge of base Anticipate reduction with further study

![](_page_35_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### **Quad transients**

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Pulsing the Quads**

- Side plates bend, oscillate radially
- Top/bottom plates oscillate vertically
- Motion causes a field perturbation
- Distinct oscillation in fixed probe measurements

43% of ring covered by quads

![](_page_36_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_11.jpeg)

#### **Quad transients**

Azimuthal dependence: relative field change caused by the transient varies depending on position inside the quad plates

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### 39

#### **Beam dynamics** corrections

$$(1 + C_e + C_p + C_{ml} + C_{pa})$$
  
$$(\phi) \times M(x, y, \phi) \rangle (1 + B_k + B_q)$$

![](_page_38_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_6.jpeg)

## **Beam Dynamics Corrections**

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Beam has 0.1% momentum spread
- <R> of stored muons depends on p
- Fourier analysis to determine equilibrium positions

![](_page_39_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_8.jpeg)

## **Beam Dynamics Corrections: Pitch Correction**

- Muon beam has a vertical spread
- Reduced precession frequency measured for muons with momentum component parallel to B field
- Trackers measure the vertical decay position distribution
- Use the measured vertical beam width to quantify correction

$$C_p = \frac{n}{2} \frac{\langle y^2 \rangle}{R_0^2} = \frac{n}{4} \frac{\langle A^2 \rangle}{R_0^2}$$

![](_page_40_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_8.jpeg)

## Bringing it all together

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### **Correcting measured R**

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $\Delta R$  (ppb)

![](_page_42_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### The Run-1 Result

- Analysis of Run-1 data produced result with 460 ppb precision
- 4.2 σ discrepancy with Theory Initiative recommended SM prediction

![](_page_43_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Interpretation

#### More precision needed

![](_page_44_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_4.jpeg)

**Fermilab** 

![](_page_44_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### **The Future**

- Reduced beam dynamics systematics
- Increased kick strength to put muons on central orbit and reduce C<sub>E</sub>
- More detailed measurements of B<sub>q</sub> and B<sub>k</sub>
- Magnet insulation and better temperature control for less variations in the B field and reduced field tracking uncertainty
- More data! Expect factor of ~2 times better precision with the Run-2/3 dataset

![](_page_45_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_10.jpeg)

### Thank you

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Phase Acceptance Correction C<sub>pa</sub>

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Phase Acceptance Correction C<sub>pa</sub>

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

~ 45 +- 90 ppb Run-1 only (will reduce in future)

- Focusing strength of the quadrupoles changed during fill
- The non-uniform acceptance of the calorimeters causes the average phase to change during the fill
- Damaged resistors (Run-1 only) enhanced this effect

![](_page_49_Picture_7.jpeg)

## **Muon Loss Correction Cml**

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Spin-momentum correlation** from delivery ring

#### < 10 ppb

![](_page_50_Figure_5.jpeg)

.  $darphi_0$ "  $\overline{d\langle p\rangle} \ \overline{dt}$ 

 $d\varphi_0$ 

dt

Low mom. muons are lost faster than high mom. at early times

![](_page_50_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_9.jpeg)

## **Clock Blinding**

- The clock is hardware blinded to have a frequency of  $(40 \pm \epsilon)$  MHz
- Only 2 people outside of the collaboration set and know the number
- Blinding offset was  $\pm 25$  ppm (approx  $\times 10$  BNL-SM difference)
- Additionally, each analysis is blinded in software

![](_page_51_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_14.jpeg)

## **Controlling the magnet temperature**

![](_page_52_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_3.jpeg)

**Fermilab** 

- 30

- 26

- 24

![](_page_52_Picture_10.jpeg)