Development of the Pandora LArTPC event reconstruction to optimise the sensitivity to CP violation at DUNE

Isobel Mawby (on behalf of the DUNE collaboration)

IOP HEPP & APP Annual Conference 2022 Rutherford Appleton Laboratory STFC 3-6 April 2022



Contents

- The Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment (DUNE)
- Liquid-Argon Time Projection Chambers (LArTPCs)
- The reconstruction chain of DUNE
- CP violation analysis
 - Nue/numu selection procedure
 - Standard performance
 - Improvements
 - Future Work









- The far detector is planned to consist of four 10kt fiducial mass modules 1.5 km underground and 1300 km downstream of the near detector, two of these will be LArTPCs
- Primarily DUNE aims to:
 - precisely measure the neutrino oscillation parameters including the CP violating phase δ_{CP}

Institute of Physics

- search for beyond the standard model physics
- detect **low energy** neutrinos such as those from supernova bursts

3 06/04/22 Isobel Mawby I Optimising the sensitivity to CP violation at DUNE

LArTPC Operation



JINST (2017) 12:02

- Neutrinos enter the far detector and interact with the **argon nuclei**
- Outgoing **charged** particles **ionise** the liquid argon as they traverse the detector
- An applied **electric field** drifts the ionisation electrons to a series of **wire planes** where they are detected



LArTPC Images

JINST (2020) 15:12



- LArTPC detectors are **fully active and fine grain**, offering **superb** spatial and calorimetric resolution
- The aim is to **identify and characterise** the visible particles in these images allowing us to perform our analyses and obtain physics results!
- To exploit such a detailed input we need a **sophisticated event reconstruction chain**



A Very Brief Reconstruction Chain Overview



- Pandora employs a **multi-algorithm approach** to pattern recognition
 - A library of traditional algorithms are applied alongside an ever growing number of machine learning approaches to gradually build, from the input hits, the particle hierarchies
 - Each particle is identified as track or showerlike
 - Any necessary **high-level reconstruction** is now performed on the output of Pandora:
 - **Tracks** and **showers** are fully characterised in terms of their vertex, direction, de/dx etc
 - The energy is estimated
 - Anything else needed in the analysis...



Analysis: CP Violation

- In neutrino oscillations CP violation is characterised by the **CP violating phase** δ_{CP} where CP is
 - **conserved** if $\delta_{CP} = 0, \pi$
 - **violated** if $\delta_{CP} \neq 0, \pi$
 - maximally violated if $\delta_{CP} = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$



- DUNE's sensitivity to CP violation is obtained by **simultaneously fitting** the expected $v_e, v_\mu, \bar{v}_e, \bar{v}_\mu$ energy spectra for all δ_{CP} values to the **CP conserving hypothesis**
- As we move towards the maximally violating phase, the fit to the CP conserving hypothesis becomes worse and our sensitivity grows





Analysis: v_e/v_μ selection*

* Credit to **Dom Brailsford** for initial development and continued support

 Events are selected as a result of the determined identity of the candidate leading leptons in the event (should they exist)



Initial Performance

| | Nue | Nue | Nue BG | Numu | Numu | Numu BG |
|---------|------------|--------|-----------|------------|--------|-----------|
| | Efficiency | Purity | Rejection | Efficiency | Purity | Rejection |
| Pandora | 60.0% | 67.1% | 98.6% | 88.3% | 87.2% | 94.4% |

- The numu selection is very good, but the nue selection dominates the sensitivity and must be improved
- The Pandora multi-algorithm approach allows hypothesised improvements to be investigated in an iterative manner allowing a specific problem to be identified

i.e. would a more **accurate neutrino vertex** placement result in a better sensitivity? If so, in what events? for which topologies? etc...

Pandora CP Violation Sensitivity (no stats/systematics)





Leading Reconstruction Failure

- We know that the nue selection dominates the sensitivity, we know that our nue selection relies on our electron-like BDT being accurate, and we know that the main inaccuracy is the BDT confusing electron with photons
- The initial de/dx of the shower and the nu-shower start displacement is used to aid electron/photon separation – maybe this is where our improvements can be found...





Institute of Physics

Leading Reconstruction Failure

- Let's hypothesise that to improve the sensitivity, we need to
 - Make sure that electrons that should have made their way back to the neutrino vertex do
 - Make sure that photons that should not have made their way back to the neutrino vertex do not



Institute of Physics

11 06/04/22 obel Mawby I Optimising the sensitivity to CP violation at DUNE

Creating an Algorithm

- The Pandora multi-algorithm approach allows us to create a **specifically designed algorithm** to fix this reconstruction failure and achieve large sensitivity gains
- In this algorithm we
 - Find the connection pathways that the electron (photon) should have (has) followed to get back to the neutrino vertex



drift coordinate

- **Decide** whether the connection should be there or not (at the moment a cheated decision but will be replaced by a BDT in future)
- Add in the connection pathway, or remove



Hybrid Algorithm Performance

 The algorithm still relies on cheating the connection pathway decision and the neutrino vertex placement, so let's call this a 'hybrid' configuration





Summary

- A Pandora analysis of DUNE's sensitivity to CP violation has been illustrated
- The leading limiting reconstruction failure with respect to CP violation is the reconstruction of the initial region of showers
- This has motivated the development of a hybrid electron extension tool and hybrid photon truncation tool for which performance is looking very good!
- Work is now focused on replacing the connection pathway assessment with a real reconstruction decision by the development of a BDT



