

Towards an Algebraic Theory of Spin

IOP HEPP & APP Annual Conference 2022

P. T. J. Bradshaw¹

University College London

April 2022

Supervisors: B. J. Hiley *b.hiley@ucl.ac.uk*
F. Deppisch *f.deppisch@ucl.ac.uk*
R. Thorne *robert.thorne@ucl.ac.uk*

¹ *ucapptj@ucl.ac.uk*

Presentation Summary

- Deconstruct the familiar theory of non-relativistic spin.

Presentation Summary

- Deconstruct the familiar theory of non-relativistic spin.
- Sketch a new, revealing approach to studying spin.

Presentation Summary

- Deconstruct the familiar theory of non-relativistic spin.
- Sketch a new, revealing approach to studying spin.
- Discuss insights gained by this method.

Deconstructing Non-Relativistic Spin

Familiar representations

s	$\rho(S_x)$	$\rho(S_y)$	$\rho(S_z)$
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$
1	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$
$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{3} & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & \sqrt{3} \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\ i\sqrt{3} & 0 & -2i & 0 \\ 0 & 2i & 0 & -i\sqrt{3} \\ 0 & 0 & i\sqrt{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$

Deconstructing Non-Relativistic Spin

What lies within

- All spin representations preserve the Lie Bracket:

$$[\rho(\mathcal{S}_a), \rho(\mathcal{S}_b)] = i \sum_c \varepsilon_{abc} \rho(\mathcal{S}_c)$$

Deconstructing Non-Relativistic Spin

What lies within

- All spin representations preserve the Lie Bracket:

$$[\rho(\mathcal{S}_a), \rho(\mathcal{S}_b)] = i \sum_c \varepsilon_{abc} \rho(\mathcal{S}_c)$$

- All spin representations have the Casimir element act as a scaling:

$$\mathcal{S}_\rho^2 = \sum_a \rho(\mathcal{S}_a) \circ \rho(\mathcal{S}_a) = s(s+1)id$$

Deconstructing Non-Relativistic Spin

What lies within

- All spin representations preserve the Lie Bracket:

$$[\rho(\mathcal{S}_a), \rho(\mathcal{S}_b)] = i \sum_c \varepsilon_{abc} \rho(\mathcal{S}_c)$$

- All spin representations have the Casimir element act as a scaling:

$$\mathcal{S}_\rho^2 = \sum_a \rho(\mathcal{S}_a) \circ \rho(\mathcal{S}_a) = s(s+1)id$$

- Are these properties enough to describe each spin representation?

Deconstructing Non-Relativistic Spin

What lies within

- All spin representations preserve the Lie Bracket:

$$[\rho(\mathbf{S}_a), \rho(\mathbf{S}_b)] = i \sum_c \varepsilon_{abc} \rho(\mathbf{S}_c)$$

- All spin representations have the Casimir element act as a scaling:

$$\mathcal{S}_\rho^2 = \sum_a \rho(\mathbf{S}_a) \circ \rho(\mathbf{S}_a) = s(s+1)id$$

- Are these properties enough to describe each spin representation?
- No.

Deconstructing Non-Relativistic Spin

What we're missing

s	<i>identity</i>
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\rho(\mathbf{S}_a) \circ \rho(\mathbf{S}_b) + \rho(\mathbf{S}_b) \circ \rho(\mathbf{S}_a) - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ab} id = 0$
1	$\rho(\mathbf{S}_a) \circ \rho(\mathbf{S}_b) \circ \rho(\mathbf{S}_c) + \rho(\mathbf{S}_c) \circ \rho(\mathbf{S}_b) \circ \rho(\mathbf{S}_a) - \delta_{ab} \rho(\mathbf{S}_c) - \delta_{bc} \rho(\mathbf{S}_a) = 0$
$\frac{3}{2}$?

Deconstructing Non-Relativistic Spin

While we're at it...

- If we redefined $S_a \rightarrow iS_a$ we could have all of our identities completely real...

Deconstructing Non-Relativistic Spin

While we're at it...

- If we redefined $S_a \rightarrow iS_a$ we could have all of our identities completely real...
- How essential are complex numbers to the story?

Deconstructing Non-Relativistic Spin

While we're at it...

- If we redefined $S_a \rightarrow iS_a$ we could have all of our identities completely real...
- How essential are complex numbers to the story?
- $SU(2, \mathbb{C})$ is the universal covering group of $SO(3, \mathbb{R})$, the same geometric symmetry as in classical non-relativistic physics...

Deconstructing Non-Relativistic Spin

While we're at it...

- If we redefined $S_a \rightarrow iS_a$ we could have all of our identities completely real...
- How essential are complex numbers to the story?
- $SU(2, \mathbb{C})$ is the universal covering group of $SO(3, \mathbb{R})$, the same geometric symmetry as in classical non-relativistic physics...
- How essential is quantum mechanics to the story?

Towards Algebraic Spin...

A problem you never knew you had

Towards Algebraic Spin...

A problem you never knew you had

How can we understand spin without spinors?

Towards Algebraic Spin...

A problem you never knew you had

How can we understand spin without spinors?

By studying the algebraic structure of the spin generators.

Towards Algebraic Spin...

What stands in our way?

- We wish to proceed with as few assumed structures as possible.

Towards Algebraic Spin...

What stands in our way?

- We wish to proceed with as few assumed structures as possible.
- No complex numbers \rightarrow No guarantee of eigenvalues.

Towards Algebraic Spin...

What stands in our way?

- We wish to proceed with as few assumed structures as possible.
- No complex numbers \rightarrow No guarantee of eigenvalues.
- No spinors \rightarrow No matrices.

Towards Algebraic Spin...

What stands in our way?

- We wish to proceed with as few assumed structures as possible.
- No complex numbers \rightarrow No guarantee of eigenvalues.
- No spinors \rightarrow No matrices.
- No quantum mechanics \rightarrow No calculus.

Towards Algebraic Spin...

What stands in our way?

- We wish to proceed with as few assumed structures as possible.
- No complex numbers \rightarrow No guarantee of eigenvalues.
- No spinors \rightarrow No matrices.
- No quantum mechanics \rightarrow No calculus.
- Capture all spins \rightarrow Initially dealing with an infinite-dimensional structure.

Towards Algebraic Spin...

Summary of results (to be published!)

- I did it.

Towards Algebraic Spin...

Summary of results (to be published!)

- I did it.
- Required the development of a formalism beyond generalised eigenvalues more appropriate to real operators.

Towards Algebraic Spin...

Summary of results (to be published!)

- I did it.
- Required the development of a formalism beyond generalised eigenvalues more appropriate to real operators.
- **All** spin algebras are derivable without complex numbers or quantum mechanics.

Towards Algebraic Spin...

Summary of results (to be published!)

- I did it.
- Required the development of a formalism beyond generalised eigenvalues more appropriate to real operators.
- **All** spin algebras are derivable without complex numbers or quantum mechanics.
- Each spin is really a collection of fundamental non-zero (non-commutative) multipole moments, which emerge naturally and with central importance!

Towards Algebraic Spin...

Summary of results (to be published!)

- I did it.
- Required the development of a formalism beyond generalised eigenvalues more appropriate to real operators.
- **All** spin algebras are derivable without complex numbers or quantum mechanics.
- Each spin is really a collection of fundamental non-zero (non-commutative) multipole moments, which emerge naturally and with central importance!
- We expect these multipole moments to be dynamical.

Towards Algebraic Spin...

Summary of results (to be published!)

- I did it.
- Required the development of a formalism beyond generalised eigenvalues more appropriate to real operators.
- **All** spin algebras are derivable without complex numbers or quantum mechanics.
- Each spin is really a collection of fundamental non-zero (non-commutative) multipole moments, which emerge naturally and with central importance!
- We expect these multipole moments to be dynamical.
- A closer connection to the real physical symmetry $SO(3, \mathbb{R})$ is maintained.

...and Beyond

- Relativistic spin from $SO(3, 1, \mathbb{R})$.

...and Beyond

- Relativistic spin from $SO(3, 1, \mathbb{R})$.
- Explore conformal symmetries $SO(p + 1, q + 1, \mathbb{R})$.

...and Beyond

- Relativistic spin from $SO(3, 1, \mathbb{R})$.
- Explore conformal symmetries $SO(p + 1, q + 1, \mathbb{R})$.
- Symplectic (dynamical) symmetries $Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$ and $Sp(2p, 2q, \mathbb{R})$.

...and Beyond

- Relativistic spin from $SO(3, 1, \mathbb{R})$.
- Explore conformal symmetries $SO(p + 1, q + 1, \mathbb{R})$.
- Symplectic (dynamical) symmetries $Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$ and $Sp(2p, 2q, \mathbb{R})$.
- Since $U(n, \mathbb{C}) = O(2n, \mathbb{R}) \cap Sp(2n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow$ Towards a completely algebraic theory of quantum mechanics!

Thank you for your attention!
Any questions?

Bibliography I

- [1] J. Binney and D. Skinner. *The Physics of Quantum Mechanics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, New York, Oct. 31, 2013.
- [2] D. I. Bondar, R. Cabrera, R. R. Lompay, M. Y. Ivanov, and H. A. Rabitz. Operational dynamic modeling transcending quantum and classical mechanics. *Physical Review Letters*, (19):190403, Nov. 8, 2012.
- [3] C. Doran and A. Lasenby. *Geometric Algebra for Physicists*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [4] W. Fulton and J. Harris. *Representation Theory: A First Course*. Springer Science & Business Media, 1991.

Bibliography II

- [5] B. C. Hall. *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras, and Representations: An Elementary Introduction*. Springer Science & Business Media, Aug. 7, 2003.
- [6] J. Helmstetter and A. Micali. About the structure of meson algebras. *Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras*, (3):617–629, Oct. 1, 2010.
- [7] A. Micali and M. Rachidi. On meson algebras. *Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras*, (3):875–889, Sept. 1, 2008.