

Searches for long-lived particles with a disappearing track signature at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with ATLAS

JAMES SMITH

UNIVERSITY OF LIVERPOOL / DESY


IOP HEPP & APP CONFERENCE 2022

4TH APRIL 2022



UNIVERSITY OF
LIVERPOOL





Introduction

Long-lived particles are common in many BSM models

- Excellent dark matter candidates
- LLPs already exist in standard model!
- Unique signatures mean very low SM backgrounds
- Wide unexplored phase space due to challenging signatures

Many models predict a long-lived charged particle that decays to an almost-mass-degenerate invisible particle and a soft track

- Charged particle has lifetime $0.01 \rightarrow 10$ ns traveling $c\tau = O(\text{cm})$

Signal: Short “tracklet” with no reconstructed objects after

- “Disappearing Track”



Theory

Anomaly-Mediated Supersymmetry Breaking (AMSB) model used as a benchmark [\[1\]](#)

- Charginos and neutralinos nearly mass degenerate, splitting of $\Delta m \sim 200 \text{ MeV}$
- Chargino lifetime $\sim 0.2 \text{ ns}$ ($c\tau \sim 6 \text{ cm}$)
- Direct electroweak production of either neutralinos, or charginos decaying to neutralinos and low- p_T pions
- Cascade of gluino decays also possible

Beyond SUSY DM models predicting a DM thermal relic and doublets or triplets under $SU(2)$ symmetry have also similar signatures

[1] Mass splitting between charged and neutral winos at two-loop level - M. Ibe, S. Matsumoto, R. Sato. DOI: [10.1016/j.physletb.2013.03.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.03.015)



New DT search

Tracklet candidates use dedicated reconstruction

- Second-pass reconstruction uses up to 4 pixel hits unused by standard tracking


First search with full Run 2 ATLAS dataset

- [\[1\]](#) [\[arxiv:2201.02472\]](#) [\[SUSY-2018-19\]](#)

Primary background is **combinatorial fake tracks**, secondary backgrounds are partially-reconstructed **scattered particles**

- Fakes reduced with impact parameter cuts
- Scatters reduced with muon-spectrometer and **calorimeter vetos** – new in this analysis

Background estimation **fully data-driven**, performed by estimating scatters in **Z→ll events** and deriving a **p_T fit template** that can be used in the signal region



Signal Region Selection


Separate event pre-selections for electroweak (strong) channels

- No leptons
- Pass missing E_T trigger
- Missing $E_T > 200$ (250) GeV
- At least 1 (3) jets with $p_T > 20$ GeV
- Leading Jet $p_T > 100$ GeV
- (2nd, 3rd Jet $p_T > 20$ GeV)
- $\Delta\phi_{min}^{jets_{1\rightarrow 4} - E_T^{miss}} > 1.0$ (0.4)

Tracklet selection:

- $p_T > 20$ GeV
- Disappearing (4 pixel hits, no SCT hits, no bad hits)
- Tight impact parameter cuts
- Isolated from other ID tracks ($\Delta R < 0.4$)
- Isolated from jets, muon spectrometer tracks ($\Delta R < 0.4$)
- Good fit quality
- $0.1 < |\eta| < 1.9$
- Calorimeter activity veto ($\Delta R < 0.2$)

Calorimeter Veto



Scattered electrons and hadrons will produce a calorimeter signal


- Not always sufficient to be classified as a jet which could be used to reject a tracklet

Sum all topological energy clusters within $\Delta R < 0.2$ of the tracklet

Require that this sum is less than 5 GeV


Significantly suppresses scattered backgrounds

[1] [arxiv:2201.02472] [SUSY-2018-19]



New results

- No significant excesses identified
 - EWK: Observed **3**, Expected **3.0 ± 0.7**
 - Strong: Observed **1**, Expected **0.84 ± 0.33**
- Electroweak production excluded at 95% CL up to $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm) = 850$ GeV
 - Wino (0.2ns): 670 GeV
 - Higgsino (0.035ns): 210 GeV
- Strong production excluded at up to $m(\tilde{g}) = 2.1$ TeV



[1] [arxiv:2201.02472] [SUSY-2018-19]

Reinterpretation

Due to strong theoretical interest, robust and varied **reinterpretation materials required**


Three reinterpretation techniques developed [\[1\]](#) [\[HEPData PDF\]](#)

Overall acceptance, efficiency and acceptance-times-efficiency plots

- Simple, but model-dependent

Component event- and tracklet-level acceptance and efficiency values

- Allows for model independence
- Users calculate new acceptances for tracklets and events and use provided efficiencies



SimpleAnalysis

SimpleAnalysis is a high-level analysis preservation framework allowing **per-event truth-level analysis** [\[PUB Note\]](#) [\[Website\]](#)



Event selection directly implemented

No low-level objects (i.e tracks) available in SimpleAnalysis, only truth charginos

- **Tracklet selection emulated** with reconstruction efficiency parameterisation
- **Transverse momentum smearing** also applied

Analysis results well-replicated by all methods within a few percent

[\[1\]](#) [\[HEPData PDF\]](#)



Future Analysis

4-hit requirement reduces sensitivity at **very short lifetimes**


- Important for **higgsino interpretations** relevant for many dark matter models

Allowing **3-hit tracklets** will significantly improve sensitivity

Large increase in **combinatorial fakes** must be **controlled**

- Use new **soft track reconstruction** methods
- Investigate **new background estimation** techniques

[1] [arxiv:2201.02472] [SUSY-2018-19]



Low- p_T Pions & Vertex Constraints

Reconstruction algorithm for low- p_T tracks developed in 2019 ^[1]

Targets low- p_T pions resulting from tracklets using hits leftover from standard tracking



- Track seeds (3 SCT hits) in **region of interest**
 - $\Delta R < 0.8$ of tracklet with $p_T > 200$ MeV
- At least 6 SCT hits**
 - No more than 2 shared with other tracks, no more than 2 missing hits.

Good reconstruction efficiency above $p_T = 350$ MeV and with a small d_0 .
Not dependent on pileup or production radius.

Fit tracklets and low- p_T tracks together to **estimate decay vertex**

- Decay vertex can be used to **improve tracklet p_T resolution**

[1] Performance of tracking and vertexing techniques for a disappearing track plus soft track signature [\[CDS\]](#) [\[ATL-PHYS-PUB-2019-011\]](#)




Using 3-hit tracklets

3-hit tracklets heavily dominated by fakes in prior analyses and had poor p_T resolution so not previously used

Aim to integrate low- p_T track reconstruction and vertex constraints to make 3-hit analysis viable

- Reduce fake backgrounds
- Improve tracklet p_T resolution
- Also apply to 4-hit tracklets

Variable d_0/z_0 cuts can also improve efficiency



Conclusions

Results of the first disappearing track search with **full Run-2 ATLAS dataset** are presented


- **New calorimeter veto** to suppress scattered electron/hadron backgrounds
- No significant excess presented, strongest-ever limits set

Search has **high potential for reinterpretation** for DM due to general LLP signature

- Various reinterpretation methods developed and presented
- Tracklet reconstruction and selection probability parameterised and used in SimpleAnalysis implementation


New techniques being explored for future analysis on the same data

- **Low- p_T track reconstruction** and vertex constraints to allow **3-hit analysis**
- **New background estimation** techniques being explored



Any Questions?

ATLAS ID



Signal Selection

Event-level preselection and tracklet selection

Event selection varies for EWK (Strong) cases:





- No leptons
- Pass missing E_T trigger
- Missing $E_T > 200$ (250) GeV
- At least 1 (3) jets with $p_T > 20$ GeV
- Leading Jet $p_T > 100$ GeV
- (2nd, 3rd Jet $p_T > 20$ GeV)
- $\Delta\phi_{min}^{jets_{1\rightarrow 4} - E_T^{miss}} > 1.0$ (0.4)

Tracklet selection varies for EWK (Strong) cases:

- $p_T > 20$ GeV
- 4 pixel hits, no SCT hits
- No spoiled hits, outliers
- $|d_0 \text{ Significance}| < 1.5$
- $|z_0 \sin(\theta)| < 0.5$ mm
- Isolated (sum of track p_T within $\Delta R < 0.4$ / tracklet $p_T < 0.04$)
- $\Delta R(\text{jets}) > 0.4$
- $\Delta R(\text{MSTracks}) > 0.4$
- Fit Quality > 0.1
- $0.1 < |\eta| < 1.9$
- Calorimeter Veto ($E_T^{\text{topclus20}} < 5$ GeV)



New results

- No significant excesses identified
 - EWK: Observed **3**, Expected **3.0 ± 0.7**
 - Strong: Observed **1**, Expected **0.84 ± 0.33**
- Electroweak production excluded at 95% CL up to $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm) = 850$ GeV
- Strong production excluded at up to $m(\tilde{g}) = 2.1$ TeV





All Plots: [\[1\]](#) [\[arxiv:2201.02472\]](#) [\[SUSY-2018-19\]](#)



Electroweak wino limits





Electroweak higgsino limits



Strong limits



Reconstruction Efficiency



Low- p_T Pions





Track seeds (3 SCT hits) in **region of interest**:

- $\Delta R < 0.8$ of tracklet
- $p_T > 200$ MeV

Low- p_T track with $d_0 < 150$ mm and $z_0 < 1000$ mm from tracklet's last pixel hit

At least 6 SCT hits

- no more than 2 shared with other tracks
- no more than 2 missing hits.







All Plots: [\[CDS\]](#) [\[ATL-PHYS-PUB-2019-011\]](#)

Vertex Constraints

Fit tracklets and soft tracks together to estimate decay vertex

- Decay vertex can be used to improve tracklet pT resolution
- Also possible to veto areas with greater material density if scatter backgrounds are dominant

High efficiency with most cases, stable with respect to pileup



All Plots: [\[CDS\]](#) [\[ATL-PHYS-PUB-2019-011\]](#)



Variable Impact Parameter cuts

Resolution of d_0 , z_0 is dependent on d_0 , z_0 respectively

Previously measured in 2019 [\[CDS\]](#)

Cuts at 2x resolution yield greatest significance vs fakes

All Plots: [\[CDS\]](#) [\[ATL-PHYS-PUB-2019-011\]](#)



Background Estimations

Tracklet p_T shape fits previously used were **difficult to derive**


Fake control regions in data have **contamination from scatters**

Exploring **ABCD methods** for background estimation

- Not easy to cleanly separate signal and backgrounds

Exploring **ML techniques with background estimation**

- Improve signal significance
- Reduce signal contamination in control regions



ABCDisCo

Use 1 or 2 NN classifiers to define ABCD plane

Use Distance Correlation (DisCo) between both classifiers in loss function as classifiers are trained to ensure they are uncorrelated

Improves signal significance

Reduces signal contamination in control regions, reducing background estimation uncertainty

[\[DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.103.035021\]](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.035021)

