

E&I Funding Dump

Craig Sawyer
STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

4th June 2021

Introduction

- As it was a recurring theme throughout we (PPTAP) thought it was worth having a quick discussion about R&D funding structures in the UK and how the community might like it to look
- At <u>UK E&I workshop</u> we had a few contributions looking at organisations elsewhere to get us thinking about how things could/should work in the UK
- Looked at:
 - CERN
 - INFN
 - US
 - Germany
 - CMS Serenity
- Aware that we are at the end of a long few days (and it is Friday afternoon)
- Will quickly pick out some discussion highlights and then open for discussion



Highlights

- CERN/INFN seem to work well as centralised organisation handling R&D activities and assigning tasks results in more coherent/successful
 collaboration
 - Coordinated Italian submission to AIDA no similar thing in UK
- Two stage R&D funding in Italy seems successful
 - Initial 150-300k for 3 years (not covering people)
 - Followed by call for 1M for 3 years (20% used can cover people)
 - · For example, SEED project followed by ARCADIA
- Reliability and continuity needed (so calls can be predicted and prepared for)
 - Clear path for concept then conclusion
- German model has overheads going back to university as cash
 - Not going to change UK financing models
 - BUT the cash this provides to German institutes allows them to do chip submissions from a generic institute pot rather than as part of a project
- Feeling that there are lot more post-docs in Germany
 - Pyramid of academic-postdoc-students not there in UK
- RD53/Serenity setup works very well
 - Institutes "buy-in" to the collaboration with clear tasks and understanding of future technical support
 - All about coherence and consolidating effort effectively
 - Ongoing technical support "post-glamour" can be a burden spinouts?
- The whole training/knowledge for the future thing is not quite leading to big pots of money
 - Need tangible ideas/examples
 - Need an R&D plan!

