

Science and Technology Facilities Council

Detector Design Dependencies

03/06/21

Iain Sedgwick CMOS Sensor Design Group Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

Introduction

Challenges of Solid State Detector Design

- Many complex dependencies
- Low volumes
- High cost/risk
- Challenging recruitment environment

Overview

- Situation today what dependencies are met? Where do we have issues?
- Ideas for the future what could we do to improve?



Dependencies

- Many requirements
- Designs cannot be successfully completed without all of them





Design Tools

- Mostly supplied by Europractice
- No major issues
- Must remember to acknowledge/support





Foundry Access

- Access to Europractice MPWs cost effective
- Detector specific ones missing (Tower, LFoundry...)
- Access often depends on history, relationships, perceived value
- PDK access normally good, TCAD less so





Technology/IP Development

- Prototyping of IP blocks and testing of new processes critical
- At the moment, often only begins under project umbrella
- This approach is high risk and leads to us lagging behind





IC Design Staff

- Recruitment and retention of skilled staff is extremely challenging
- Cannot compete on salary
 with industry
- Critical mass is important
- But we have many selling points...





Electronics/Testing

- Availability of test equipment, camera design effort etc. obviously crucial
- Most institutes have some access to this





Rigorous Quality Assurance

- Critical to projects that might be multi £M
- But cannot say what the status is because never discussed/published
- Requires experience/critical mass
- Therefore compounded by staffing issues





Ideas for the Future

Current Situation

- **IC Design Staff**
- Technology/IP Development
- Foundry Acces
- **Electronics/Testing**
- Design Too
- **Rigorous QA**



Need focus on IP/technology development in advance of project need. Long term programme would:

- De-risk projects
- Enable involvement with new projects
- Motivate staff recruitment
- Maintain critical mass/high quality QA

Suggested actions: Fund ongoing R&D, engage with non-PP, even non-detector applications

Access is currently generally possible, but does depend on existing relationships.

Suggested actions: share contacts/silicon where possible, networking channels?, detector processes in Europractice?

No action except in support of other points

Recruitment actions and networking/knowledge sharing will support this

Suggested actions: designer network? Shared design reviews? Gather best practice?



Current Situation

- Detectors are complex systems with multiple dependencies
- Many of these are met today, largest issues with staff retention and "background" R&D

Future Ideas

- Long term R&D programme would help in resolving both issues, but requires funding
- Other (cheaper!) options could be improved networking and knowledge sharing
- QA is often overlooked but is vital. Cannot be done without critical mass. Cheap options to do this could be a designers network, visiting reviewers or sharing best practice.





Questions?



Science and Technology Facilities Council