



Ultra thin and radiation transparent cryostats for FCC detector magnets

- First analyses of insulation materials -

<u>Veronica Ilardi</u>

H. Silva, A. Dudarev, T. Koettig, P. Borges de Sousa, L. N. Busch, T. Kulenkampff, E. R. Bielert and H.H.J. ten Kate

Content

- 1. Requirements and design studies proposed
- 2. Innovative insulation materials
- 3. Thermal conductivity measurements on Cryogel
- 4. Heat load of cryostat using Cryogel
- 5. Model cryostat for thermal testing of Cryogel and glass spheres
- 6. Conclusion and outlook

Requirements and design studies proposed

FCC-ee detector designs proposed:

- A conventional 2 T/7.6 m bore solenoid around the calorimeter
- An ultra-thin and transparent 2 T/4 m bore around the tracker

FCC-hh detector designs proposed:

- A 4T/10m bore main solenoid around the calorimeter, plus two forward dipoles providing additional bending power
- An ultra-thin and transparent 4 T/4 m bore around the tracker

Motivation to develop a new, challenging FCC-ee design:

- Magnetic field only required in the tracker and in muon chambers
 → most of stored energy (~80%) is wasted in the calorimeter
- By placing the solenoid inside the calorimeter, it is possible to save:
 - \circ Factor \cong 4.2 in stored energy
 - \circ Factor \cong 2.1 in cost

Two approaches for the ultra-light cryostats:

Instrumented return yoke Double Readout Calorimeter Ultra-light Tracker MAPS

> IDEA detector (International Detector Electron Accelerators), thin solenoid inside the calorimeter

- Minimize material thickness in metallic cryostat using honeycomb-like structures or corrugated plates (not presented here)
- Use an insulating material with sufficient mechanical resistance paired with lowest thermal conductivity

2 T/4 m solenoid cryostat - Innovative insulation materials

Design drivers:

- 4 m bore, 6 m length
- Radiation length $X_0 < 1$ in radial direction
- Radial envelope < 300 mm

Concept:

- Classical sandwich of vacuum vessel, radiation shield, MLI and cold mass <u>is replaced</u>
- Thin cryostat walls supported by a material providing thermal insulation and structural support

Materials of interest:

- Cryogel Z (Aspen Aerogels) <u>Density</u>: 0.16 g/cm³ <u>Thermal conductivity measured</u>: 0.017 W/mK@24°C, 0.1 bar compression
- K1 glass spheres (3M) <u>Density:</u> 0.125 g/cm³ <u>Calculated thermal conductivity</u>: 0.047 W/mK@21°C, no compression <u>Particle size</u>: 65 micron (by volume)



Thermal conductivity measurements on Cryogel

Sample characteristics:

- 22 mm diameter
- 10 mm initial thickness
- 7 mm thickness after compression
 → Sample height reduction of 30%

Test conditions:

- Temperature between 3 and 300 K
- Pressure between 10⁻⁷ and 10⁻⁸ mbar

Test set-up and instrumentation:

- 100Ω electric heater on center plate
- TVO temperature sensor on bottom plate
- Two Cernox sensors, on top and middle plates
- Sumitomo two-stage PTR (up to 1 W @ 4.2 K on 2nd stage)



fastening elements for applying compression force

Thermal conductivity measurement of Cryogel

Measurement procedure:

- PTR 1st stage kept between 25 and 35 K
- PTR 2nd stage initially set at 2.6 K
- Heated plate temperature incrementally increased up to 273 K
- Temperature on top and bottom plates kept constant

Heat loss considered:

- Radiation loss of the middle plate to the environment: 8.2% of total applied heating power for the highest temperature gradient
- Conduction loss through the Cernox sensor and the electric heater on the center plate: 3% of total applied heat load for the measured range



Thermal conductivity measurements on Cryogel

Results:

Temperature (K)	Thermal conductivity ×10 ⁻⁴ (W/mK)
10.0	2.10
17.1	5.97
23.7	9.15
42.6	16.7
102.1	41.3
190.9	164
249.5	370
269.1	471
273.6	496



Significant differences justified by different test conditions!

- No compression on the samples measured by the manufactures
- Different gaseous environments

2 T/4 m solenoid cryostat – Heat load of cryostat using Cryogel

4 m bore, 6 m long cryostat model realized in ANSYS: Sandwich of vacuum vessel walls, thermal shield, cold mass Gaps filled with Cryogel Z Cryostat wall thickness between 15 and 105 cm Analized aspects: Expected heat loads on cold mass and thermal shield Running power cost to cool the cryostat

- Thermal shield optimum position to minimize the energy cost
- Eventual use of outer surface heaters

Results:

- A <u>250 mm thick cryostat</u> combines low thickness and lowest possible thermal load
- Thermal shield at 25 mm from the cold mass to minimize the power cost
- Maximum heat load allowed on outer skin to avoid condensation: 4 kW ($T_{air}=27^{\circ}C$, humidity 35%, dew point: 10°C) \rightarrow The use of heaters is necessary!



Model cryostat for thermal testing of Cryogel and glass spheres



Test set up:

- Stainless steel vacuum vessel, aluminum thermal shield and cold mass
- Free space to be filled with insulating material (Cryogel / glass spheres)
- CRYOMECH 420 pulse tube cooler (up to 2 W @ 4.2 K)

Test conditions:

- Temperature between 4 and 300 K, Pressure between 10⁻⁷ and 10⁻⁸ mbar
- 1st test with no compressive load \rightarrow Cryostat is subjected to $p_{atm} = 1$ bar as in the real case
- 2nd test with a 2 bar compressive load



Conclusion and outlook

As part of the FCC study for detector magnets, the option of using highly radiation transparent and light cryostats is under investigation

- Cryogel Z and K1 glass spheres under vacuum are being considered as innovative insulating material with acceptable mechanical properties to be used for both FCC detector magnets cryostats
- ✓ A first test on Cryogel shows a thermal conductivity of 2.10×10^{-4} W/mK at 10 K and 10^{-8} mbar
- ✓ Thermal simulations for the 2T, 4 m bore, 6 m long FCC-ee case lead to a 250 mm thick cryostat, with the thermal shield positioned at 25 mm from the cold mass Total heat loads of 400 W on cold mass and 10 kW on thermal shield are expected
- ✓ The new set-up for a larger scale, more representative thermal conductivity tests on Cryogel and glass spheres was described
- ✓ The upcoming tests will show, to good approximation, whether the thermal and mechanical properties of the proposed insulating materials can satisfy the requirements imposed by the FCC project