

6D Cooling for a Muon Collider

J. Pasternak

UK Muon Collider and nuStorm Meeting, 07/08/2020



Muon Collider Facility

- Muon Collider will provide collider physics using leptons with high quality beams and compact footprint.
- The scenarios for low and high luminosity have been sketched but intensive R&D studies are required.
- The studies on Muon Collider have a strong synergy with the Neutrino Factory program.
- First demonstration of ionisation cooling (MICE) has been performed

•What are the next steps?



Cooling theory



Cooling equations:

$$\frac{d\varepsilon_T}{ds} = -\frac{g_T}{\beta^2 E} \frac{dE}{ds} \varepsilon_T + \frac{\beta_T E_s^2}{2\beta^3 m_\mu c^2 L_R E}$$
$$\frac{d\varepsilon_L}{ds} = -\frac{g_L}{\beta^2 E} \frac{dE}{ds} \varepsilon_L + \frac{\beta_L}{2} \frac{d\langle \Delta E^2 \rangle}{ds}$$

$$\beta_L = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\alpha_p}{\beta^3 \gamma e V' \sin \varphi_s \lambda_{\rm RF} m_\mu c^2}}$$

Equilibrium emittances:

$$\varepsilon_T^{\rm eq} = \left(\frac{dE}{ds}\right)^{-1} \frac{\beta_T E_s^2}{2\beta g_T m_\mu c^2 L_R}.$$

$$\varepsilon_L^{\rm eq} = \left(\frac{dE}{ds}\right)^{-1} \frac{\beta^2 E \beta_L}{2g_L} \frac{d\langle \Delta E^2 \rangle}{ds}$$

- Tight focusing
- Low Z material
- Wedge (or path length variation through absorber) with dispersion
- High RF gradient
- Momentum compaction
- Energy





$$g_L = \frac{2\gamma^2 - 2\ln[K(\gamma^2 - 1)]}{\gamma^2 \ln[K(\gamma^2 - 1)] - (\gamma^2 - 1)]} + \frac{D}{w}$$

$$K = 2m_e c^2 / I$$

Imperial College London Initial cooling, HFOFO Snake, Y. Alexahin

coils: Rin=42cm, Rout=60cm, L=30cm; RF: f=325MHz, L=2×25cm; LiH wedges



- Cools both signs of muons!
- LiH wedges + hydrogen gas
 - Safety concerns
- 6D cooling from the start
- Transverse focusing comparable to MICE

Science & Technology Facilities Council

ISIS





Imperial College ISIS 6D cooling after charge separation (I)





Rectilinear Channel



Imperial College London 6D cooling after charge separation (I)

- Cools in 6D
- Very tight focusing in advanced stages
 - $-\beta_{\rm T}$ ~3cm, B~14T
- Good cooling performance
- Alternative: Helical Channel





Phys. Rev. STAB 18.03003



Imperial College



.0

80

100

120

140

60

Z [m]

40

20

Final Cooling (for high luminosity)

• Final cooling channel:





100

50

- Mean energy gradualy reduced, RF frequency as well
- Strong 4D cooling with longitudinal heating
 - Is this the only way?
- Very tight focusing: β_{T} less than 2cm, B~30T
- Very challenging magnets, high cost

Phys. Rev. STAB 18.09001



Some thoughts (1)

- Design work mainly focused on performance in the past
 - Some thoughts on technology feasibility as well
 - Safety and cost reduction mostly not considered so far
- Initial cooling:
 - Liner channel of a similar behaviour definitely needed (even for the Neutrino Factory)
 - Until the injection into a ring becomes possible
 - Concerns on the use of gas hydrogen -> exchange with LiH (is RF gradient an issue)?
- 6D cooling in the rectilinear channel:
 - Very good solution, but advanced stages are challenging
 - Construction of the lattice cell(s) prototype could be very useful
- Final cooling
 - Very challenging due to a high B field
 - Is this the only route to the high luminosity machine?
 - Can we avoid the longitudinal heating?



Some thoughts (2)

- Cost reduction may be an important part of "feasibility"
 - Consider ring coolers beyond initial cooling and for the final cooling
 - Dispersion appears in a natural way
 - Injection/extraction
 - Some staging is probably unavoidable
 - Gabor Lenses for positive muons or channels, which cool both signs simultaneously (rings again)
 - MICE results might suggest that the effective equilibrium emittance for LiH and LH (with windows) is similar -> use LiH



Some thoughts (3)

- MICE demonstrated 4D cooling and can further contribute to validate material interactions and quantitative cooling figures
- MICE aims to further demonstrate principles of the emittance exchange so to validate the longitudinal cooling equation (in heating regime?) using the wedge absorber
 - Do we need further demonstration? Some work was/is planned to be addressed with proton rings (ERIT)
- Addressing a very tight focusing required for the advanced stages seems the main technological challenge
 - There would be a real benefit from constructing a prototype cell
 - It could be tested in operation with and without the beam (using the nuSTORM facility?)
- Design effort for novel advanced solutions (ring coolers, final cooling stages) seems very well in place!
 - Prototype could again be tested with and without the beam