Recent results from the LHC

From ATLAS and CMS

Alex Martyniuk (UCL)
on behalf of the ATLAS and CMS collaborations

Lake Louise Winter Institute
March 6th, 2020

Recent results from the LHC

From ATLAS and CMS



Alex Martyniuk (UCL)
on behalf of the ATLAS and CMS collaborations

RAL (remotely)
April 15th, 2020

Not To Scale Birds Eye View

The General Purpose Detectors

- This talk will focus on the results from ATLAS* and CMS



*Personal bias will probably be towards ATLAS
Selection of results will not cover everything!

The General Purpose Detectors



Similarities

- **Cylindrical** detectors: barrel & end-caps
- **Concentric** detectors: Tracking, EM→had-calorimetry, muon chambers
- Close to 4π solid angle coverage
- Hardware/software **combined** trigger systems

Differences

- Detector **technology** choices
- *B*-field **configuration**: Solenoid vs Solenoid+Toroid
- **Size/weight** (though both are colossal!)



Run 2 Summary: Integrated Luminosity





- The LHC had an **excellent** Run 2, delivering $\approx$ 160 fb^{-1} to both experiments!
- Both experiments recorded data with **superb** overall Run 2 data taking efficiency: ATLAS 94.2%, CMS 92.3%
  - This was achieved despite **challenging** pile-up conditions with $<\mu>$ 35: i.e. on average 35 **simultaneous** $p-p$ collisions per bunch crossing!
- The LHC is very **versatile** machine, delivering in **special** runs throughout Run 2: $Pb-Pb$, $p-Pb$, $Xe-Xe$ and low pileup $p-p$ data to both experiments

Run 2 Summary: Pileup





- The LHC had an **excellent** Run 2, delivering ≈ 160 fb^{-1} to both experiments!
- Both experiments recorded data with **superb** overall Run 2 data taking efficiency: ATLAS 94.2%, CMS 92.3%
  - This was achieved despite **challenging** pile-up conditions with $<\mu>$ 35: i.e. on average 35 **simultaneous** $p-p$ collisions per bunch crossing!
- The LHC is very **versatile** machine, delivering in **special** runs throughout Run 2: $Pb-Pb$, $p-Pb$, $Xe-Xe$ and low pileup $p-p$ data to both experiments

Run 2 Summary: Versatility





- The LHC had an **excellent** Run 2, delivering $\approx$ 160 fb^{-1} to both experiments!
- Both experiments recorded data with **superb** overall Run 2 data taking efficiency: ATLAS 94.2%, CMS 92.3%
  - This was achieved despite **challenging** pile-up conditions with $< \mu >$ 35: i.e. on average 35 **simultaneous** $p - p$ collisions per bunch crossing!
- The LHC is very **versatile** machine, delivering in **special** runs throughout Run 2: $Pb - Pb$, $p - Pb$, $Xe - Xe$ and low pileup $p - p$ data to both experiments

Reconstruction and Calibration

- **Both** experiments have **excellent** reconstruction and calibration performance, even in the **harsh** pileup conditions of Run 2
- **Continuous** improvements seen in the calibrations, understandings of efficiencies, systematic uncertainties etc. over a **wide** p_{T} range
  - A **better** understanding of the detectors along with **data-driven** and **machine learning** techniques mean that object calibrations and efficiencies are often now **better** even in the harsher environment of Run 2





What is in this data?

- The LHC is an **EVERYTHING** factory (with additional background collisions)
  - Assuming 140 fb^{-1} at $\sqrt{s}$ =13 TeV both detectors have seen...

Particle	# produced
H boson	≈ 8 million
t quark	≈ 280million
Z boson	≈ 8 billion
W boson	≈ 26 billion
b quark	≈ 160 trillion



- These datasets give both experiments **broad** physics programme potential
  - **High-precision** SM measurements, including Higgs properties
  - Detection of extremely **rare** processes
  - Exploration of **new** kinematic regimes for potential **new physics** signals!

What is in this data?

- The LHC is an **EVERYTHING** factory (with additional background collisions)
  - Assuming 140 fb^{-1} at $\sqrt{s}$ =13 TeV both detectors have seen...

Particle	# produced
H boson	$\approx$ 8 million
t quark	$\approx$ 280million
Z boson	$\approx$ 8 billion
W boson	$\approx$ 26 billion
b quark	$\approx$ 160 trillion



- These datasets give both experiments **broad** physics programme potential
  - **High-precision** SM measurements, including Higgs properties
  - Detection of extremely **rare** processes
  - Exploration of **new** kinematic regimes for potential **new physics** signals!

The Standard Model



Image from Symmetry Magazine

Remarkable agreement



Standard Model Production Cross Section Measurements

Status: November 2019

The amazing **predictive** power of the Standard Model is **confirmed** by both experiments (CMS versions)

Agreement spans over **14 orders of magnitude**, with measurements/evidence of diverse and extremely rare SM processes

---

Improvements in theoretical calculations to NNLO level **complement** these results

Remarkable agreement



The amazing **predictive** power of the Standard Model is **confirmed** by both experiments (CMS versions)

Agreement spans over **14 orders of magnitude**, with measure-ments/evidence of diverse and extremely rare SM processes

---

Improvements in theoretical calculations to NNLO level **complement** these results

Remarkable agreement



$pp \to X$
7 TeV, 20 μb^{-1}, Nat. Commun. 2, 463 (2011)
8 TeV, 500 μb^{-1}, Phys.Lett. B761 158 (2016)
13 TeV, 60 μb^{-1}, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117 182002 (2016)
$pp \to W$ $pp \to Z/\gamma^*$
2.76 TeV, 4 pb^{-1}, arXiv:1907.03567 (for Z/W)
5 TeV, 25 pb^{-1}, Eur. Phys. J. C79 (2019) 128 (for Z/W)
7 TeV, 4.6 fb^{-1}, Eur. Phys. J. C77 (2017) 367 (for Z/W)
8 TeV, 20.2 fb^{-1}, JHEP 02, 117 (2017) (for Z)
8 TeV, 20.2 fb^{-1}, Eur. Phys. J. C79 (2019) 760 (for W)
13 TeV, 81 pb^{-1}, PLB 759 (2016) 601 (for W)
13 TeV, 3.2 fb^{-1}, JHEP 02, 117 (2017) (for Z)
$pp \to t\bar{t}$
7 TeV, 4.6 fb^{-1}, Eur. Phys. J. C 74:3109 (2014)
8 TeV, 20.3 fb^{-1}, Eur. Phys. J. C 74:3109 (2014)
13 TeV, 3.2 fb^{-1}, Phys. Lett. B 761 (2016)
$pp \to tq$
7 TeV, 4.6 fb^{-1}, PRD 90, 112006 (2014)
8 TeV, 20.3 fb^{-1}, Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (2017) 531
13 TeV, 3.2 fb^{-1}, JHEP 1704 (2017) 086
$pp \to H$
7 TeV, 4.5 fb^{-1}, Eur. Phys. J. C76 (2016) 6
8 TeV, 20.3 fb^{-1}, Eur. Phys. J. C76 (2016) 6
13 TeV, 36.1 fb^{-1}, Phys. Lett. B 786 (2018) 114
$pp \to WW$
7 TeV, 4.6 fb^{-1}, PRD 87, 112001 (2013)
8 TeV, 20.3 fb^{-1}, JHEP 09 029 (2016)
13 TeV, 36.1 fb^{-1}, arXiv:1905.04242
$pp \to WZ$
7 TeV, 4.6 fb^{-1}, Eur. Phys. J. C (2012) 72:2173
8 TeV, 20.3 fb^{-1}, PRD 93, 092004 (2016)
13 TeV, 36.1 fb^{-1}, arXiv:1902.05759
$pp \to ZZ$
7 TeV, 4.6 fb^{-1}, JHEP 03, 128 (2013)
8 TeV, 20.3 fb^{-1}, JHEP 01, 099 (2017)
13 TeV, 36.1 fb^{-1}, Phys. Rev. D 97 (2018) 032005

The amazing **predictive** power of the Standard Model is **confirmed** by both experiments (CMS versions)

Agreement spans over **14 orders of magnitude**, with measurements/evidence of diverse and extremely rare SM processes

---

Improvements in theoretical calculations to NNLO level **complement** these results

Let's focus first on the new kid...



Image from Symmetry Magazine

The Higgs boson

- The **discovery** of the Higgs boson ($m_H = 125.10$ GeV) in 2012 by ATLAS and CMS **together** fulfilled one of the **main aims** of the LHC: Identifying a **mass generation** mechanism for the SM

- Its discovery showed us that some form of the **Brout-Englert-Higgs*** mechanism is **realised** in nature!
- It has given us **access** to a new sector of the **SM Lagrangian** with new lines of enquiry to follow:
  - **Yukawa couplings**, a new type of interaction to investigate
  - Gauge–scalar boson **interactions**
  - The **parameters** of the Higgs potential, and its self coupling

*Please insert your own preferred naming convention for the mechanism

The Higgs Production/Decay

- In **Run 1** ATLAS and CMS observed the *gg* fusion and VBF production modes
- In **Run 2** we now have observed the Higgs-strahlung and *ttH* productions modes!

- The **discovery** channels ($\gamma\gamma$, *ZZ*, *WW*) **dominated** Run 1 results
- In Run 2 both experiments are **digging** into the more **challenging** decay modes

ggF ~ 49fb@13TeV



VBF ~ 3.8pb@13TeV



Higgs-strahlung


HW~ 1.4pb@13TeV
HZ ~ 0.9pb

bb/ttH ~ 0.5pb@13TeV





Higgs Progress: Discovery→Measurement

Run 1: ATLAS & CMS





$$H \to \gamma\gamma$$

- **Significant** progress has been made in the discovery channels since 2012
- We have collected **thousands** of Higgs bosons candidate events with which to perform **differential** measurements
- Can really start to dig down into the **properties** and **couplings** of this new scalar boson

Run 2: ATLAS & CMS





Higgs Progress: Discovery→Measurement

Run 1: ATLAS & CMS





$H \to WW$

- **Significant** progress has been made in the discovery channels since 2012
- We have collected **thousands** of Higgs bosons candidate events with which to perform **differential** measurements
- Can really start to dig down into the **properties** and **couplings** of this new scalar boson

Run 2: ATLAS & CMS





Higgs Progress: Discovery→Measurement

Run 1: ATLAS & CMS





$$H \to ZZ$$

- **Significant** progress has been made in the discovery channels since 2012
- We have collected **thousands** of Higgs bosons candidate events with which to perform **differential** measurements
- Can really start to dig down into the **properties** and **couplings** of this new scalar boson

Run 2: ATLAS & CMS





Differential Higgs Measurements

ATLAS-CONF-2019-029 & ATLAS-CONF-2019-032 &
HIG-17-028 & HIG-19-002

- Statistical **combinations** of the large Higgs samples allows both experiments to provide **total** and **differential** cross-section results
- **Deviations** from the SM expectations in these measurements and in Higgs couplings **could** point us towards new physics
  - Could be subtle, → needs high precision









Differential Higgs Measurements

ATLAS-CONF-2019-029 & ATLAS-CONF-2019-032 &
HIG-17-028 & HIG-19-002

- Statistical **combinations** of the large Higgs samples allows both experiments to provide **total** and **differential** cross-section results
- **Deviations** from the SM expectations in these measurements and in Higgs couplings **could** point us towards new physics
  - Could be subtle, → needs high precision









Two for one: $pp \rightarrow VH \rightarrow bb$

HIGG-2018-04 & HIG-18-016

- **Observation** of the Higgs production/decay $pp \rightarrow VH \rightarrow bb$ **directly** confirms both the low cross section **Higgs-strahlung** production mode and the abundant $H \rightarrow bb$ **decay** mode
- Made possible by triggering on **"clean"** leptonic V decays to reduce the **multi-jet** background
- An **incredible** achievement as the $H \rightarrow bb$ decay channel was considered by some a **lost cause** at a hadron collider





- Combined Run 1+2 $H \rightarrow bb$ significances
  –ATLAS–
  5.4(5.5)σ
  –CMS–
  4.8(4.9)σ
- Both agree with the SM signal strength

The rarest production mode: $t\bar{t}H$

HIGG-2018-13 & HIG-17-035

- **Combinations** of decay modes from both experiments have previously **confirmed** the presence of the $pp \to t\bar{t}H$ production channel at, ATLAS: 6.3(5.1)σ, CMS: 5.2(4.2)σ
- A **superb** confirmation of a **rare** Higgs production mode, confirming the **tree level coupling** of top quarks to the Higgs





The rarest production mode: $t\bar{t}H$

HIGG-2018-13 & HIG-17-035 & ATLAS-CONF-2019-004

- **Combinations** of decay modes from both experiments have previously **confirmed** the presence of the $pp \to t\bar{t}H$ production channel at, ATLAS: 6.3(5.1)σ, CMS: 5.2(4.2)σ
- A **superb** confirmation of a **rare** Higgs production mode, confirming the **tree level coupling** of top quarks to the Higgs



- ATLAS has now followed up with a **single channel** ($H \to \gamma\gamma$) observation of the $t\bar{t}H$ process at a significance of 4.9σ
- An **exceptionally** rare process with a measured, $\sigma_{t\bar{t}H} \times \mathcal{B}_{H\to\gamma\gamma} = 1.59^{+0.43}_{-0.39}$ fb

The Rarer Decay Modes: 2^{nd} Gen.

ATLAS-CONF-2019-028 & HIG-18-031

- ATLAS and CMS are digging down toward the **rare** second generation Higgs decay modes
- Using **multivariate** techniques and new reconstruction techniques
- **Nothing** is seen (or expected to be) seen yet, but these modes are starting their **journeys** now ready for Run 3/4
    - ATLAS $H \to \mu\mu$: $\mu = 1.7$ & CMS $H \to cc$: $\mu = 70$@ 95%CL







The "Future": Di-Higgs production

HDBS-2018-18 & HDBS-18-33 & ATLAS-CONF-2019-049 & HIG-17-030 & HIG-18-013

- *HH* production can be searched for through **VBF** production modes





- Can be used to explore the Higgs **self coupling** λ, probing the **Higgs potential**
- Also useful to search for new **heavy resonances**



Run 2 Higgs Overview

HIGG-2018-57 & HIG-19-005

- The ATLAS and CMS Higgs programs are **switching** from discovery to measurements **quickly**
- Will be able to **compare** the results to the SM expectations with greater and greater **precision**
- The Higgs sector touches upon many **questions**: naturalness, vacuum stability, flavour...
- We will be **poking** this field for a long time to come





The Electroweak sector segue



Image from Symmetry Magazine

High-statistics probes: $Z\gamma$ cross section

ATLAS:1911.04813 CMS:1909.0413



NB: FSR & q/g contributions suppressed





- **High** precision (at percent level) measurements of **differential** $Z\gamma$ cross-sections from **both** experiments
- In general **good** agreement seen with **NLO/NNLO** predictions
- Provides **high-statistics** probes of the electroweak gauge sector for the community to use



Rare processes: EW VBS $Z\gamma jj$

SMP-18-007 (ATLAS: arXiv:1910.09503)

- **Evidence** of the electroweak vector-boson scattering process $pp \to Z\gamma jj$ **directly** probes the EWK SM gauge structure
- Selection **reduces** contribution from strong production
- Signal **extracted** from 2D fit to m_{jj} and $\Delta\eta_{jj}$







- Obs (exp) significance at 3.9 (5.2)σ with a cross-section of 3.20±1.15 fb^{-1}
- Additionally, places **stringent** limits on anomalous quartic gauge couplings

Rare Processes: EW VBS *ZZjj*

ATLAS-CONF-2019-033



- **Observation** of the electroweak vector-boson scattering process $pp \to ZZjj$ is a milestone in observing one of the **rarest processes** of the EW sector
- Selected from other QCD/EW diboson processes by a **Boosted Decision Tree**

- Observed at 5.5σ with a cross-section of 0.82 fb
    - One of the **rarest** SM process observed so far at the LHC
- CMS has plans (FTR-18-014) to measure the **longitudinal** component with 3 ab^{-1}





Double Parton Scattering: *WW*

arXiv:1909.06265

- **Evidence** of double parton scattering in the *pp* → $W^{\pm}W^{\pm}$ channel is a **first** for DPS at the LHC
  - Could become a background to new physics with longitudinal correlations at HL-LHC, as well as other diboson processes
- **Multivariate** classifiers used to **discriminate** DPS events from other diboson backgrounds
- **Evidence** at 3.9σ with a cross-section of 1.41 pb^{-1}







The Fermions



Image from Symmetry Magazine

$t\bar{t}$ Forward/Backward Asymmetry

TOP-15-018

- Top quarks are **predominantly** produced in pairs in the SM
- **Anomalous** production modes can be searched for by studying the the **angular** distribution of the produced $t\bar{t}$ pairs





- I.e. these anomalies would **impact**,

$$c^* = \cos\theta^*$$

- This can be **quantified** by using the forward/backwards asymmetry,

$$A_{FB} = \frac{\sigma(c^*>0)-\sigma(c^*<0)}{\sigma(c^*>0)+\sigma(c^*<0)} \; (A_{FB}^{SM} = 0.095)$$

  - Trickier than at the Tevatron as *gg* fusion production is dominant at the LHC which produces no A_{FB}, need to extract $q\bar{q}$ contribution

- Can also measure the anomalous **chromoelectric** ($\hat{d}_t$) and **chromomagnetic** ($\hat{\mu}_t$) dipole moments ($\hat{d}_t^{SM} = \hat{\mu}_t^{SM} = 0$)

$t\bar{t}$ Forward/Backward Asymmetry

TOP-15-018

- Record **differential** cross sections in a series of channels **defined** by decay topology, lepton charge and flavour
- A linear **combination** of 3D MC templates is fitted to this data to **independently** extract

- $A_{FB}^{(1)} = 0.048^{+0.095}_{-0.087}(\mathrm{stat})^{+0.020}_{-0.029}(\mathrm{syst})$
- $\hat{\mu}_t = -0.024^{+0.013}_{-0.009}(\mathrm{stat})^{+0.016}_{-0.011}(\mathrm{syst})$
- $|\hat{d}_t| < 0.03$ 95% CL



Top Spin Correlations

TOPQ-2016-10 (TOP-18-006)

- Top quarks decay **before** their spins can be flipped by the strong interaction, passing this information to their **decay products**
- The initial $t\bar{t}$ spin states at the LHC depend on the **production mode** ($q\bar{q}$ annihilation/gg fusion), ∴ a **different** measurement at Tevatron c.f. LHC
- Measurements by ATLAS and CMS generally agree with fixed order predictions (ATLAS has some tension with the NNLO prediction)
  - ATLAS also has some tension with one NLO+PS prediction (POWHEG+PYTHIA8)



$B_S^0 \rightarrow \mu\mu$

BPH-16-004

- The decay of $B_S^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ is **observed** by CMS with a branching fraction of $\mathcal{B}(B_S^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = 2.9^{+0.7}_{-0.6} \times 10^{-9}$ with a significance of 5.6σ
  - **No** significant excess is seen for the $B^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$, upper limits set at $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = 3.6 \times 10^{-10}$
- The results are **consistent** with the SM expectation, and provide a significant **constraint** on BSM models which could enhance this channel



Drell-Yan: $pp \to Z/\gamma^* \to ll$

STDM-2018-14

- A **precise** measurement of transverse momentum and ϕ^*_η (a measure of the lepton's scattering angle w.r.t. the beam) in **Drell-Yan** events
- High statistics measurements of standard candle processes are **important** inputs to beyond the Standard Model searches
- Unfolded differential cross section provide information to improve the **modelling** of these channels







Beyond the Standard Model



Image (kinda) from Symmetry Magazine

Some of the sticking plasters...

- **Gravity**... It's just not in there...
- **Dark Matter**... Astronomers/Cosmologists say that it is everywhere...
  - The SM looks blankly into the distance...
  - Neutrino mass not enough, and SM doesn't even include them anyway...
- **Neutrino masses**... Also missing...
  - We know they have mass, the SM says they don't...
  - No (observed) ν_R so no Yukawa coupling...
  - What is the correct way to stick that in?
- A complete list of sticking plasters, things lacking an explaination and omissions would be **quite long**...
  - The Higgs mass, mass hierarchies in general, vacuum stability of the universe, multiple generations of fermions...
- And so we go **searching**...







Exotics & SUSY: Searching high and low



ATLAS Exotics Searches* - 95% CL Upper Exclusion Limits
Status: May 2019

ATLAS Preliminary
$\int\mathcal{L}\,dt = (3.2 - 139)\ \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$
$\sqrt{s} = 8, 13$ TeV

	Model	ℓ, γ	Jets†	E_T^{miss}	$\int\mathcal{L}\,dt[\mathrm{fb}^{-1}]$	Limit		Reference
Extra dimensions	ADD $G_{KK} + g/q$	0 e, μ	1 – 4 j	Yes	36.1	M_D 7.7 TeV	$n = 2$	1711.03301
	ADD non-resonant $\gamma\gamma$	2 γ	–	–	36.7	M_S 8.6 TeV	$n = 3$ HLZ NLO	1707.04147
	ADD QBH	–	2 j	–	37.0	M_{th} 8.9 TeV	$n = 6$	1703.09127
	ADD BH high $\sum p_T$	≥ 1 e, μ	≥ 2 j	–	3.2	M_{th} 8.2 TeV	$n = 6$, $M_D = 3$ TeV, rot BH	1606.02265
	ADD BH multijet	–	≥ 3 j	–	3.6	M_{th} 9.55 TeV	$n = 6$, $M_D = 3$ TeV, rot BH	1512.02586
	RS1 $G_{KK} \to \gamma\gamma$	2 γ	–	–	36.7	G_{KK} mass 4.1 TeV	$k/\overline{M}_{Pl} = 0.1$	1707.04147
	Bulk RS $G_{KK} \to WW/ZZ$	multi-channel			36.1	G_{KK} mass 2.3 TeV	$k/\overline{M}_{Pl} = 1.0$	1808.02380
	Bulk RS $G_{KK} \to WW \to qqqq$	0 e, μ	2 J	–	139	G_{KK} mass 1.6 TeV	$k/\overline{M}_{Pl} = 1.0$	ATLAS-CONF-2019-003
	Bulk RS $g_{KK} \to tt$	1 e, μ	≥ 1 b, ≥ 1J/2j	Yes	36.1	g_{KK} mass 3.8 TeV	$\Gamma/m = 15\%$	1804.10823
	2UED / RPP	1 e, μ	≥ 2 b, ≥ 3 j	Yes	36.1	KK mass 1.8 TeV	Tier (1,1), $\mathcal{B}(A^{(1,1)} \to tt) = 1$	1803.09678
Gauge bosons	SSM $Z' \to \ell\ell$	2 e, μ	–	–	139	Z' mass 5.1 TeV		1903.06248
	SSM $Z' \to \tau\tau$	2 τ	–	–	36.1	Z' mass 2.42 TeV		1709.07242
	Leptophobic $Z' \to bb$	–	2 b	–	36.1	Z' mass 2.1 TeV		1805.09299
	Leptophobic $Z' \to tt$	1 e, μ	≥ 1 b, ≥ 1J/2j	Yes	36.1	Z' mass 3.0 TeV	$\Gamma/m = 1\%$	1804.10823
	SSM $W' \to \ell\nu$	1 e, μ	–	Yes	139	W' mass 6.0 TeV		CERN-EP-2019-100
	SSM $W' \to \tau\nu$	1 τ	–	Yes	36.1	W' mass 3.7 TeV		1801.06992
	HVT $V' \to WZ \to qqqq$ model B	0 e, μ	2 J	–	139	V' mass 3.6 TeV	$g_V = 3$	ATLAS-CONF-2019-003
	HVT $V' \to WH/ZH$ model B	multi-channel			36.1	V' mass 2.93 TeV	$g_V = 3$	1712.06518
	LRSM $W_R \to tb$	multi-channel			36.1	W_R mass 3.25 TeV		1807.10473
	LRSM $W_R \to \mu N_R$	2 μ	1 J	–	80	W_R mass 5.0 TeV	$m(N_R) = 0.5$ TeV, $g_L = g_R$	1904.12679
CI	CI $qqqq$	–	2 j	–	37.0	Λ 21.8 TeV η_{LL}^-		1703.09127
	CI $\ell\ell qq$	2 e, μ	–	–	36.1	Λ 40.0 TeV η_{LL}^-		1707.02424
	CI $tttt$	≥1 e,μ	≥1 b, ≥1 j	Yes	36.1	Λ 2.57 TeV	$\lvert C_{4t}\rvert = 4\pi$	1811.02305
DM	Axial-vector mediator (Dirac DM)	0 e, μ	1 – 4 j	Yes	36.1	m_{med} 1.55 TeV	g_q=0.25, g_χ=1.0, $m(\chi) = 1$ GeV	1711.03301
	Colored scalar mediator (Dirac DM)	0 e, μ	1 – 4 j	Yes	36.1	m_{med} 1.67 TeV	g=1.0, $m(\chi) = 1$ GeV	1711.03301
	$VV\chi\chi$ EFT (Dirac DM)	0 e, μ	1 J, ≤ 1 j	Yes	3.2	M_* 700 GeV	$m(\chi) < 150$ GeV	1608.02372
	Scalar reson. $\phi \to t\chi$ (Dirac DM)	0-1 e, μ	1 b, 0-1 J	Yes	36.1	m_ϕ 3.4 TeV	$y = 0.4$, $\lambda = 0.2$, $m(\chi) = 10$ GeV	1812.09743
LQ	Scalar LQ 1st gen	1,2 e	≥ 2 j	Yes	36.1	LQ mass 1.4 TeV	$\beta = 1$	1902.00377
	Scalar LQ 2nd gen	1,2 μ	≥ 2 j	Yes	36.1	LQ mass 1.56 TeV	$\beta = 1$	1902.00377
	Scalar LQ 3rd gen	2 τ	2 b	–	36.1	LQ_3^u mass 1.03 TeV	$\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{LQ}_3^u \to b\tau) = 1$	1902.08103
	Scalar LQ 3rd gen	0-1 e, μ	2 b	Yes	36.1	LQ_3^d mass 970 GeV	$\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{LQ}_3^d \to t\tau) = 0$	1902.08103
Heavy quarks	VLQ $TT \to Ht/Zt/Wb + X$	multi-channel			36.1	T mass 1.37 TeV	SU(2) doublet	1808.02343
	VLQ $BB \to Wt/Zb + X$	multi-channel			36.1	B mass 1.34 TeV	SU(2) doublet	1808.02343
	VLQ $T_{5/3}T_{5/3}\vert T_{5/3} \to Wt + X$	2(SS)/≥3 e,μ	≥1 b, ≥1 j	Yes	36.1	$T_{5/3}$ mass 1.64 TeV	$\mathcal{B}(T_{5/3} \to Wt)$= 1, $c(T_{5/3}Wt)$= 1	1807.11883
	VLQ $Y \to Wb + X$	1 e, μ	≥ 1 b, ≥ 1j	Yes	36.1	Y mass 1.85 TeV	$\mathcal{B}(Y \to Wb)$= 1, $c_R(Wb)$= 1	1812.07343
	VLQ $B \to Hb + X$	0 e,μ, 2 γ	≥ 1 b, ≥ 1j	Yes	79.8	B mass 1.21 TeV	κ_B= 0.5	ATLAS-CONF-2018-024
	VLQ $QQ \to WqWq$	1 e, μ	≥ 4 j	Yes	20.3	Q mass 690 GeV		1509.04261
Excited fermions	Excited quark $q^* \to qg$	–	2 j	–	139	q^* mass 6.7 TeV	only u^* and d^*, $\Lambda = m(q^*)$	ATLAS-CONF-2019-007
	Excited quark $q^* \to q\gamma$	1 γ	1 j	–	36.7	q^* mass 5.3 TeV	only u^* and d^*, $\Lambda = m(q^*)$	1709.10440
	Excited quark $b^* \to bg$	–	1 b, 1 j	–	36.1	b^* mass 2.6 TeV		1805.09299
	Excited lepton ℓ^*	3 e, μ	–	–	20.3	ℓ^* mass 3.0 TeV	$\Lambda = 3.0$ TeV	1411.2921
	Excited lepton ν^*	3 e, μ, τ	–	–	20.3	ν^* mass 1.6 TeV	$\Lambda = 1.6$ TeV	1411.2921
Other	Type III Seesaw	1 e, μ	≥ 2 j	Yes	79.8	N^0 mass 560 GeV		ATLAS-CONF-2018-020
	LRSM Majorana ν	2 μ	2 j	–	36.1	N_R mass 3.2 TeV	$m(W_R) = 4.1$ TeV, $g_L = g_R$	1809.11105
	Higgs triplet $H^{\pm\pm} \to \ell\ell$	2,3,4 e, μ (SS)	–	–	36.1	$H^{\pm\pm}$ mass 870 GeV	DY production	1710.09748
	Higgs triplet $H^{\pm\pm} \to \ell\tau$	3 e, μ, τ	–	–	20.3	$H^{\pm\pm}$ mass 400 GeV	DY production, $\mathcal{B}(H_L^{\pm\pm} \to \ell\tau) = 1$	1411.2921
	Multi-charged particles	–	–	–	36.1	multi-charged particle mass 1.22 TeV	DY production, $\lvert q\rvert = 5e$	1812.03673
	Magnetic monopoles	–	–	–	34.4	monopole mass 2.37 TeV	DY production, $\lvert g\rvert = 1g_D$, spin 1/2	1905.10130

*Only a selection of the available mass limits on new states or phenomena is shown.
†Small-radius (large-radius) jets are denoted by the letter j (J).

Exotics & SUSY: Searching high and low



Selection of observed exclusion limits at 95% C.L. (theory uncertainties are not included).

Exotics & SUSY: Searching high and low



ATLAS SUSY Searches* - 95% CL Lower Limits

July 2019

ATLAS Preliminary

$\sqrt{s}$ = 13 TeV

	Model	Signature			$\int\mathcal{L}\,dt$ [fb^{-1}]	Mass limit			Reference
Inclusive Searches	$\tilde{q}\tilde{q}$, $\tilde{q}\to q\tilde{\chi}^0_1$	0 e,μ	2-6 jets	$E_T^{\rm miss}$	36.1	$\tilde{q}$	[2×, 8× Degen.] 0.9 1.55	m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)<100 GeV	1712.02332
		mono-jet	1-3 jets	$E_T^{\rm miss}$	36.1	$\tilde{q}$	[1×, 8× Degen.] 0.43 0.71	m($\tilde{q}$)-m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=5 GeV	1711.03301
	$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}$, $\tilde{g}\to q\bar{q}\tilde{\chi}^0_1$	0 e,μ	2-6 jets	$E_T^{\rm miss}$	36.1	$\tilde{g}$	2.0	m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)<200 GeV	1712.02332
						$\tilde{g}$	Forbidden 0.95-1.6	m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=900 GeV	1712.02332
	$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}$, $\tilde{g}\to q\bar{q}(\ell\ell)\tilde{\chi}^0_1$	3 e,μ	4 jets		36.1	$\tilde{g}$	1.85	m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)<800 GeV	1706.03731
		$ee,\mu\mu$	2 jets	$E_T^{\rm miss}$	36.1	$\tilde{g}$	1.2	m($\tilde{g}$)-m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=50 GeV	1805.11381
	$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}$, $\tilde{g}\to qqWZ\tilde{\chi}^0_1$	0 e,μ	7-11 jets	$E_T^{\rm miss}$	36.1	$\tilde{g}$	1.8	m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$) <400 GeV	1708.02794
		SS e,μ	6 jets		139	$\tilde{g}$	1.15	m($\tilde{g}$)-m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=200 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2019-015
	$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}$, $\tilde{g}\to t\bar{t}\tilde{\chi}^0_1$	0-1 e,μ	3 b	$E_T^{\rm miss}$	79.8	$\tilde{g}$	2.25	m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)<200 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2018-041
		SS e,μ	6 jets		139	$\tilde{g}$	1.25	m($\tilde{g}$)-m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=300 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2019-015
3^{rd} gen. squarks direct production	$\tilde{b}_1\tilde{b}_1$, $\tilde{b}_1\to b\tilde{\chi}^0_1/t\tilde{\chi}^\pm_1$		Multiple		36.1	$\tilde{b}_1$	Forbidden 0.9	m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=300 GeV, BR($b\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=1	1708.09266, 1711.03301
			Multiple		36.1	$\tilde{b}_1$	Forbidden 0.58-0.82	m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=300 GeV, BR($b\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=BR($t\tilde{\chi}^\pm_1$)=0.5	1708.09266
			Multiple		139	$\tilde{b}_1$	Forbidden 0.74	m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=200 GeV, m($\tilde{\chi}^\pm_1$)=300 GeV, BR($t\tilde{\chi}^\pm_1$)=1	ATLAS-CONF-2019-015
	$\tilde{b}_1\tilde{b}_1$, $\tilde{b}_1\to b\tilde{\chi}^0_2\to bh\tilde{\chi}^0_1$	0 e,μ	6 b	$E_T^{\rm miss}$	139	$\tilde{b}_1$	Forbidden 0.23-1.35	Δm($\tilde{\chi}^0_2,\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=130 GeV, m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=100 GeV	SUSY-2018-31
						$\tilde{b}_1$	0.23-0.48	Δm($\tilde{\chi}^0_2,\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=130 GeV, m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=0 GeV	SUSY-2018-31
	$\tilde{t}_1\tilde{t}_1$, $\tilde{t}_1\to Wb\tilde{\chi}^0_1$ or $t\tilde{\chi}^0_1$	0-2 e,μ	0-2 jets/1-2 b	$E_T^{\rm miss}$	36.1	$\tilde{t}_1$	1.0	m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=1 GeV	1506.08616, 1709.04183, 1711.11520
	$\tilde{t}_1\tilde{t}_1$, $\tilde{t}_1\to Wb\tilde{\chi}^0_1$	1 e,μ	3 jets/1 b	$E_T^{\rm miss}$	139	$\tilde{t}_1$	0.44-0.59	m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=400 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2019-017
	$\tilde{t}_1\tilde{t}_1$, $\tilde{t}_1\to\tilde{\tau}_1 b\nu$, $\tilde{\tau}_1\to\tau\tilde{G}$	1 τ + 1 e,μ,τ	2 jets/1 b	$E_T^{\rm miss}$	36.1	$\tilde{t}_1$	1.16	m($\tilde{\tau}_1$)=800 GeV	1803.10178
	$\tilde{t}_1\tilde{t}_1$, $\tilde{t}_1\to c\tilde{\chi}^0_1$ / $\tilde{c}\tilde{c}$, $\tilde{c}\to c\tilde{\chi}^0_1$	0 e,μ	2 c	$E_T^{\rm miss}$	36.1	$\tilde{c}$	0.85	m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=0 GeV	1805.01649
						$\tilde{t}_1$	0.46	m($\tilde{t}_1$,$\tilde{c}$)-m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=50 GeV	1805.01649
		0 e,μ	mono-jet	$E_T^{\rm miss}$	36.1	$\tilde{t}_1$	0.43	m($\tilde{t}_1$,$\tilde{c}$)-m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=5 GeV	1711.03301
	$\tilde{t}_2\tilde{t}_2$, $\tilde{t}_2\to\tilde{t}_1+h$	1-2 e,μ	4 b	$E_T^{\rm miss}$	36.1	$\tilde{t}_2$	0.32-0.88	m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=0 GeV, m($\tilde{t}_1$)-m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)= 180 GeV	1706.03986
	$\tilde{t}_2\tilde{t}_2$, $\tilde{t}_2\to\tilde{t}_1+Z$	3 e,μ	1 b	$E_T^{\rm miss}$	139	$\tilde{t}_2$	Forbidden 0.86	m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=360 GeV, m($\tilde{t}_1$)-m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)= 40 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2019-016
EW direct	$\tilde{\chi}^\pm_1\tilde{\chi}^0_2$ via WZ	2-3 e,μ		$E_T^{\rm miss}$	36.1	$\tilde{\chi}^\pm_1/\tilde{\chi}^0_2$	0.6	m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=0	1403.5294, 1806.02293
		$ee,\mu\mu$	≥ 1	$E_T^{\rm miss}$	139	$\tilde{\chi}^\pm_1/\tilde{\chi}^0_2$	0.205	m($\tilde{\chi}^\pm_1$)-m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=5 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2019-014
	$\tilde{\chi}^\pm_1\tilde{\chi}^\mp_1$ via WW	2 e,μ		$E_T^{\rm miss}$	139	$\tilde{\chi}^\pm_1$	0.42	m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=0	ATLAS-CONF-2019-008
	$\tilde{\chi}^\pm_1\tilde{\chi}^0_2$ via Wh	0-1 e,μ	2 b/2 γ	$E_T^{\rm miss}$	139	$\tilde{\chi}^\pm_1/\tilde{\chi}^0_2$	Forbidden 0.74	m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=70 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2019-019, ATLAS-CONF-2019-XYZ
	$\tilde{\chi}^\pm_1\tilde{\chi}^\mp_1$ via $\tilde{\ell}_L/\tilde{\nu}$	2 e,μ		$E_T^{\rm miss}$	139	$\tilde{\chi}^\pm_1$	1.0	m($\tilde{\ell}$,$\tilde{\nu}$)=0.5(m($\tilde{\chi}^\pm_1$)+m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$))	ATLAS-CONF-2019-008
	$\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}$, $\tilde{\tau}\to\tau\tilde{\chi}^0_1$	2 τ		$E_T^{\rm miss}$	139	$\tilde{\tau}$	[$\tilde{\tau}_L$, $\tilde{\tau}_{R,L}$] 0.16-0.3 0.12-0.39	m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=0	ATLAS-CONF-2019-018
	$\tilde{\ell}_{L,R}\tilde{\ell}_{L,R}$, $\tilde{\ell}\to\ell\tilde{\chi}^0_1$	2 e,μ	0 jets	$E_T^{\rm miss}$	139	$\tilde{\ell}$	0.7	m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=0	ATLAS-CONF-2019-008
		2 e,μ	≥ 1	$E_T^{\rm miss}$	139	$\tilde{\ell}$	0.256	m($\tilde{\ell}$)-m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=10 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2019-014
	$\tilde{H}\tilde{H}$, $\tilde{H}\to h\tilde{G}/Z\tilde{G}$	0 e,μ	≥ 3 b	$E_T^{\rm miss}$	36.1	$\tilde{H}$	0.13-0.23 0.29-0.88	BR($\tilde{\chi}^0_1\to h\tilde{G}$)=1	1806.04030
		4 e,μ	0 jets	$E_T^{\rm miss}$	36.1	$\tilde{H}$	0.3	BR($\tilde{\chi}^0_1\to Z\tilde{G}$)=1	1804.03602
Long-lived particles	Direct $\tilde{\chi}^+_1\tilde{\chi}^-_1$ prod., long-lived $\tilde{\chi}^\pm_1$	Disapp. trk	1 jet	$E_T^{\rm miss}$	36.1	$\tilde{\chi}^\pm_1$	0.46	Pure Wino	1712.02118
						$\tilde{\chi}^\pm_1$	0.15	Pure Higgsino	ATL-PHYS-PUB-2017-019
	Stable $\tilde{g}$ R-hadron		Multiple		36.1	$\tilde{g}$	2.0		1902.01636,1808.04095
	Metastable $\tilde{g}$ R-hadron, $\tilde{g}\to qq\tilde{\chi}^0_1$		Multiple		36.1	$\tilde{g}$	[$\tau(\tilde{g})$ =10 ns, 0.2 ns] 2.05 2.4	m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=100 GeV	1710.04901,1808.04095
RPV	LFV $pp\to\tilde{\nu}_\tau+X$, $\tilde{\nu}_\tau\to e\mu/e\tau/\mu\tau$	$e\mu,e\tau,\mu\tau$			3.2	$\tilde{\nu}_\tau$	1.9	λ'_{311}=0.11, $\lambda_{132/133/233}$=0.07	1607.08079
	$\tilde{\chi}^\pm_1\tilde{\chi}^\mp_1/\tilde{\chi}^0_2\to WW/Z\ell\ell\ell\ell\nu\nu$	4 e,μ	0 jets	$E_T^{\rm miss}$	36.1	$\tilde{\chi}^\pm_1/\tilde{\chi}^0_2$	[$\lambda_{i33}\neq 0, \lambda_{12k}\neq 0$] 0.82 1.33	m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=100 GeV	1804.03602
	$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}$, $\tilde{g}\to qq\tilde{\chi}^0_1$, $\tilde{\chi}^0_1\to qqq$		4-5 large-R jets		36.1	$\tilde{g}$	[m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=200 GeV, 1100 GeV] 1.3 1.9	Large λ''_{112}	1804.03568
			Multiple		36.1	$\tilde{g}$	[λ''_{112}=2e-4, 2e-5] 1.05 2.0	m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=200 GeV, bino-like	ATLAS-CONF-2018-003
	$\tilde{t}\tilde{t}$, $\tilde{t}\to t\tilde{\chi}^0_1$, $\tilde{\chi}^0_1\to tbs$		Multiple		36.1	$\tilde{g}$	[λ''_{323}=2e-4, 1e-2] 0.55 1.05	m($\tilde{\chi}^0_1$)=200 GeV, bino-like	ATLAS-CONF-2018-003
	$\tilde{t}_1\tilde{t}_1$, $\tilde{t}_1\to bs$		2 jets + 2 b		36.7	$\tilde{t}_1$	[qq, bs] 0.42 0.61		1710.07171
	$\tilde{t}_1\tilde{t}_1$, $\tilde{t}_1\to q\ell$	2 e,μ	2 b		36.1	$\tilde{t}_1$	0.4-1.45	BR($\tilde{t}_1\to be/b\mu$)>20%	1710.05544
		1 μ	DV		136	$\tilde{t}_1$	[1e-10< λ'_{23k} <1e-8, 3e-10< λ'_{23k} <3e-9] 1.0 1.6	BR($\tilde{t}_1\to q\mu$)=100%, cosθ_t=1	ATLAS-CONF-2019-006

*Only a selection of the available mass limits on new states or phenomena is shown. Many of the limits are based on simplified models, c.f. refs. for the assumptions made.

Exotics & SUSY: Searching high and low



More up to date, but separated summaries can be found here

Pushing to the edges...

- Searches for exotic phenomena and SUSY partners push to extremes of phase space
- They also often turn the normal operation of the detectors upside down

- These **extremes** include...
- The **highest** invariant mass events
- **Low** mass particles
- **Compressed** spectra
- **Small** couplings
- **Long-lived** particles
- **Multi-charged** particles
- **Forbidden** decays
- **Complicated** decays



A $m_{jj} = 9.3$ TeV dijet event recorded by ATLAS

Dijet resonances

EXOT-2019-03 & EXO-19-012

- Dijet resonance searches probe the **highest** invariant mass events, $m_{jj} = 9.3$ TeV now for ATLAS, $m_{jj} = 8.2$ TeV in CMS
- This iteration from ATLAS is **expanding** on the inclusive search by requiring **additional** *b*-tagging selections to probe other models
- **No** excesses are seen in any of the spectra





Dilepton resonances

EXOT-2018-08 & EXOT-2018-30 & EXO-19-019 & EXO-16-033

- Dilepton resonance searches are **complimentary** searches to the dijet searches, exploring a **wide range** of BSM models
- Require **careful** treatment of SM backgrounds out to **high** invariant mass regions
- Again **no** excesses are seen in any of the spectra









"Low" mass resonances

EXO-19-018&EXO-18-012&EXOT-2018-05&EXOT-2016-20

- Can **access** lower mass resonances than triggers would usually allow by **recoiling** the system against ISR, or by running on **reduced** size trigger level data
- Multiple examples from **both** experiments, pushing searches into areas **previously** thought inaccessible to the LHC
- **No excesses** seen in any of these searches either, but they demonstrate the **ingenuity** of collaboration members









Strong SUSY

SUS-19-007

- A search for gluino pairs with a **spectacular** fireworks display of a final state of $t\bar{t}t\bar{t} + \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$
- The variables M_J,the scalar sum of large-R jets, and M_T, transverse mass of leading lepton + $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$, offer **strong handles** on these busy events
- Regions ($R_{1,2,3}$) **dominated** by background are used to estimate the background in the signal region (R_4)
- Data is able to **exclude** gluinos with masses below 2.15 TeV







Electroweak SUSY

SUSY-2018-06 & SUSY-2018-16

- Searches also ongoing for **production** of electroweakinos, **close** to the EW mass scale, and in **compressed** spectra
- Push back into regions where the $m(\tilde{\chi}_2^0) \approx m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) + m(Z)$ or $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) = m(\tilde{\chi}_2^0)$
- The "**easier**" search regions are longer term statistics driven games now







Long-Lived Particles



Image courtesy of Heather Russell

Long-Lived Particles

EXOT-2018-61

- Searches for LLPs require **novel** trigger and reconstruction techniques, often a complete **re-write**
- **New** reconstruction methods developed for displaced tracks in the ID and MS detectors
- **Unusual** backgrounds from material interactions, fake vertices or punch through jets
- Place **limits** on the $c\tau$ of the long lived particles







Long-Lived Particles: DNN Jet Tagging

EXO-19-011

- CMS has **developed** a novel tagger to identify jets originating from LLP events
- Uses a Deep Neural Network which **achieves** a tagging efficiency of 30-80% for gluinos with $1mm \leq c\tau_0 \leq 10m$
- Expect an **improvement** in limits over **previous** results by using this **novel** technique







We are not done yet...



...not by a **long** way

ATLAS Phase-1 upgrades



- **Liquid Argon Calorimeter Electronics**
  - Aiming to improve the Level-1 calorimeter decisions for Run 3 and beyond
  - Finer segmentation leading to enhanced jet rejection and pileup subtraction capabilities



- **TDAQ** upgrades
  - Take advantage of finer segmentation in LAr electronics and improved muon trigger (NSW)



- Muons: **New Small Wheel**
  - Replacing the inner muon stations in the endcaps
  - Reduced muon fake rates, and maintain the same position resolution/efficiency for HL-LHC

CMS Phase-1 upgrades

- CMS is well advanced on it’s Phase-1 upgrades
  - Upgrades of the pixels, L1Trigger system and replacement of some HCAL readout have already occured
  - Final upgrades ongoing in LS2 including replacing the inner layer of the pixel barrel



- **Hadronic Calorimeter Electronics**
  - Replace photosensors of hybrid photodetectors with silicon photomultipliers
  - Will improve the readout to 5Gbps and increase the longitudinal segmentation of the detector

- Muons: **GEM GEI/I detectors**
  - Technically already a Phase-2 upgrade, but going in now in LS2
  - Installing Gas-Electron-Multiplier chambers which can operate in high-rate environments



We are not done yet...

We will be here...

...not by a **long** way

We are not done yet...



There is a lot of data incoming... **pessimistically** 3 ab^{-1}

We are not done yet...



There is a lot of data incoming... or **optimistically** 4++ ab^{-1}

That data will be at $<\mu>= 200$



ATLAS Phase-2 upgrades



Upgraded Trigger and Data Acquisition System:

- L0: 1 MHz
- Improved High-Level Trigger

Electronics Upgrade :

- LAr Calorimeter
- Tile Calorimeter
- Muon system

New Inner Tracking Detector
(all silicon tracker, up to |η| =4)

New muon chambers
in the inner barrel region

Options:
- High granularity timing detector (forward region)
- High-η muon tagger

CMS Phase-2 upgrades



L1 Trigger/HLT/DAQ
- L1 40 MHz in/750 kHz out with tracking for PF-like
- HLT 7.5 kHz out

Beam Radiation and Luminosity, Common Systems, Infrastructure

Calorimeter Endcap
- Si, Scint + SiPM in Pb-W-SS
- 3D shower imaging with precise timing

Tracker
- Si Strip Outer Tracker designed for L1 Track Trigger
- Pixelated Inner Tracker extends coverage to $|\eta| < 3.8$

Barrel Calorimeters
- ECAL single crystal granularity in L1 Trigger with precise timing for e/γ at 30 GeV
- ECAL and HCAL new back-end electronics

Muon Systems
- DT & CSC new FE/BE readout
- New GEM/RPC $1.6 < |\eta| < 2.4$
- Extended coverage to $|\eta| < 2.8$

$|\eta| < 3.0$

MIP Timing Detector
- 30 ps resolution
- Barrel: Crystals + SiPMs
- Endcap: LGADs

- Both of the upgrade programs are **major undertakings**
- Equivalent to (re-)building a **good fraction** of the detectors in each case, but while the collaboration is **still running** the existing system and producing physics results
- **Very challenging!**

Summary

- ATLAS & CMS are **ploughing** through their $\approx 140\mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ Run 2 datasets
- **No** new physics seen, but **exciting** results none the less
  - **Precision** differential Higgs cross section measurements, **progress** in rare Higgs decays and constraints on the *VVHH* system
  - **Observation** of the *WWjj*, *WZjj*, *ZZjj* electroweak scattering processes
  - **Precision** measurements of SM processes, increasing our understanding and constraining backgrounds to new physics
  - **Powerful** searches continue for new physics exploring new signatures and new parameter space
- Both experiments are **preparing** for the challenges of Run 3 and beyond into HL-LHC
- We already have a **gold mine** of experimental data, soon* we will be **spoiled** with 10× as much data!





*For a generous definition of soon

The General Purpose Diagram