



# Characterization of an ASIC-Based Readout System for the SAND Experiment

A. Di Domenico, P. Gauzzi, C. Maggio, A. Picchi,  
C. Tintori, Y. Venturini\*

IoP Nuclear Physics 2025 – Manchester 23-25 April 2025




**CAEN**  
*Tools for Discovery*

# Outline



1. SAND-ECAL PMTs: Description and FEE Requirements
2. Proposed CAEN FEE
3. The CAEN FERS-5200 System
4. A5203:
  - Measurements Setup and Data Acquisition
  - Results
5. A5204:
  - Measurements Setup and Data Acquisition
  - Results
6. Conclusions



# SAND calorimeter (and magnet) from KLOE experiment at LNF




**DUNE NEAR DETECTOR COMPLEX (60 m underground)**




## SAND - System for on-Axis Neutrino Detection

Multipurpose detector with high performance calorimeter (ECAL), light-targeted tracker (STT), LAr target (GRAIN), all in magnetic field, always on beam axis



## SAND - ECAL

Lead - scintillating fiber calorimeter  
In total 4880 PMTs with charge and time readout



# Measurement Requirements for SAND-ECAL PMTs

Hamamatsu fine-mesh PMT R5946

- signal: fast rise time  $\sim 2$  ns
- PMT base with HV divider and signal preamp.
- typical HV for PMT 1700-1800 V  $\Rightarrow G \sim 1-3 \times 10^6$
- gain preamp  $\sim 2.5$

## ECAL-PMTs FrontEnd Electronics requirements

- Energy Resolution:  $\rightarrow$  3-4 % for signals  $< 400$  mV  
 $\rightarrow$  1-2 % for signals  $> 400$  mV
- Time Resolution: 50 -100 ps
- Data acquisition on a wide dynamic range:  
 signal from 2.5 mV to  $\sim 2$  V amplitude
- Compact and scalable
- low-power and low-cost for multi-channel scalability




# CAEN FrontEnd Electronics Options

ASIC-based front-end boards of FERS-5200 family:

- **A5203** 64-channel front-end for time and ToT-reconstructed amplitude measurements
- **A5204** 64-channel front-end for PHA and picoseconds resolution time measurements optimized for fast pulses (200 MHz counting rate)

1



**A5203+A5256:**

picoTDC (double threshold discrimination) with ToT

for all SAND-ECAL's  
PMTs signals

2



**A5204:**

Radiator + picoTDC (single threshold discrimination) with ToT

for all SAND-ECAL's  
PMTs signals

peak sensing ADC with slow shaper – dead time 20  $\mu$ s and good resolution  
 → for rarer signals of large amplitude (to achieve better energy resolution)

- ASIC integration reduces cost per channel compared to a fully digital front-end solution based on flash ADC
- Trade-off: slightly lower flexibility vs traditional digitizers, but adequate for fixed experimental conditions


# The FERS-5200 Readout System

## DT5215 Data Concentrator:

- 1 TDlink => up to 16 FERS
- 1 DT5215 => 128 FERS = 8k/16k ch.



1/10G Eth  
USB 3.0



10/100M Eth  
USB 2.0





Desktop Evaluation Setup: Low Cost, Plug & Play


- FERSlib library (SDK coming soon)
- Janus 520X software

3.125 Gb/s TDlink

Readout  
+  
Slow Control  
+  
Synchronization



Custom Flange  
or Backplane



Easy ASIC integration on FERS

# FERS-5200 Units

## A5203 Example



**128 CH CONNECTOR (A5203 ONLY)**

**uC**


**+12V DC-IN**

**2 In + 2 Out**

**Eth/USB**

**TDlink:  
Data+Sync**

**Detector  
inputs**



**ASIC**

**Auxiliary  
Clock**

**FPGA**

**DETECTOR SPECIFIC FRONT-END**

**COMMON INFRASTRUCTURE**

# FERS-5200 Units

## A5204 Example



HV Bias (on the bottom)

uC

+12V

2 In + 2 Out

Eth/USB

TDlink:  
Data+Sync

Radoroc

picoTDC

FPGA


DETECTOR SPECIFIC FRONT-END

COMMON INFRASTRUCTURE

# Measurements with A5203 Only

1

**Read-out scheme:** picoTDC + double threshold discriminator with Time over Threshold (ToT)



⇒ Energy by ToT with 2 thresholds not to worsen energy resolution  
 ⇒ Time walk correction needed

## Test Setup:

**PMTs + LED Driver**



⇒ Two KLOE PMTs (test + reference)  
 ⇒ Led Driver CAEN SP5601 ( $\lambda \sim 400$  nm) + fiber splitter

**Step Attenuator**



⇒ Calibrated attenuator  
 ⇒ Variable amplitude

**DT5203+A5256**




⇒ Dual threshold  
 ⇒ ToT acquisition



⇒ Walk correction  
 ⇒ Energy estimation using ToT

# Characterization Results #1: Time and Energy Resolutions






**Time Resolution ~ 60 ps**  
(ECAL T resol. ~  $54\text{ps}/\sqrt{E(\text{GeV})} \oplus 100\text{ps}$ )

Amplitude resolution obtained from ToT is compared with the intrinsic calorimeter resolution (assuming PMT gain 1 mV = 1 p.e. = 1 MeV => 1 V = 1 GeV)

To be done: optimization of the thresholds for the best performance in the whole expected dynamic range (2.5-2000 mV)


comparison with Ecal resolution ( $\sigma_E/E \sim 5.7\%/\sqrt{E(\text{GeV})}$ )

Amplitude reconstruction from ToT



# Measurements with A5204

2 **Read-out scheme:** Radioroc chip + PicoTDC single threshold discriminator with Time over Threshold (ToT)



⇒ Energy & Time: Single threshold with ToT acquisition (for all signals)  
 ⇒ Energy: Peak sensing ADC with slow shaper – dead time 20 μs and good resolution (for rarer signals of large amplitude)

## Test Setup:




⇒ No calibration needed (done with ADC)  
 ⇒ variable amplitude







⇒ Energy with ADC and by ToT with 1 threshold  
 ⇒ Time with 1 threshold



⇒ Energy measurement and calibration with ADC  
 ⇒ Walk correction  
 ⇒ Energy estimation using ToT



# Characterization Results #2: Linearity and Energy Resolution



Optimal energy resolution:

- for signals < 100 mV  
1-2% with fast ToT
- for signals > 100 mV  
<1% with ADC (low gain)

# Conclusions

SAND-ECAL PMTs FEE -> A5203 and A5204 ASIC-based boards proposed by CAEN SpA

## A5203:

- Characterized up to 700 mV signal amplitudes, due to fixed pulser light intensity. Needs further characterization
- External 64 ch. double threshold discriminator needed, now only 8+1 ch.
- Double discrimination thresholds to be further optimized
- Meets time resolution requirements, meets energy resolution requirements just for low energy signals

## A5204:

- Characterized Radioroc evaluation board+A5203, aim to test again with the final A5204 version
- No need for external discriminator, improved ToT linearity for high signals amplitudes (no need for double threshold)
- meets both energy and timing SAND requirements

A5204, thanks to Radioroc+picoTDC, offers very good energy resolution at both low (ToT- reconstructed amplitude) and high energies (ADC-converted amplitude), and very good time resolution

-> **SAND-ECAL's requirements met**

-> **Future work:** measurement optimization and test under beam conditions

# Thank you

# Back Up Slides



# Comparison Table

## A5203 vs A5204

Feature	A5203 (B)	A5204
No. of Channels	64/128	64
Input signals	LVDS	Analog
Mounted Chip	picoTDC	Radoroc+picoTDC
PHA (ADC conversion)	No – pulse amplitude estimated via ToT	Yes
Energy Resolution	see slide n. 10	see slide n. 12
Time Resolution	5 ps RMS	55 ps RMS
Principal Application	Timing	PHA, Counting, Timing
Best suited for	---	SiPM

# X5203 Specifications

- **TDC:** 64/128 channels (1 picoTDC = 64 ch), LSB = 3.125 ps, dynamic range = 56 bit (extended by FPGA)
- **Inputs:** digital, LVDS → Front-End needed
- **Output Data:** Time of Arrival (ToA), Time over Threshold (ToT)
- **Data throughput:** up to ~64 Mcps/board (without filters)
- **Acquisition modes:** Common Start/Stop (Tref=Ch0), Trigger Matching, Streaming



- **DeltaT Resolution (\*) :**

- Same board: **typ 5 ps RMS**
- Board to board: **~20 ps RMS**  
*synchronized by DT5215 Concentrator Board via TDlink*
- Board to board: **~8 ps RMS**  
*synchronized by DT5215 Concentrator Board via TDlink , with auxiliary daisy chain/fan out clock cables*

(\*) Tested with A5256 discriminator. Pulse: 0.5 Vpp, 0.8 ns rise time

# X5203 Specifications

x5203 Pros	x5203 Cons
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• high timing resolution (<math>\sim 5</math> ps), high channel density, almost no dead time</li><li>• provides ToA and ToT in one word</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• ToA affected by walk effect</li><li>• No energy information (PHA) acquired -&gt; need for a separate ADC readout chain</li></ul>


## -> ToT-Based Analysis: Walk correction and PHA

- **ToT** can be used **to correct for time walk** => no need of Constant Fraction Discriminator in hardware
- **ToT** can be used **to reconstruct pulse amplitude**: ToT – PHA curve is not linear => need calibration (pulse shape dependent)
- **FPGA ToT filter**: rejects pulses if **ToT < LowCut** or **ToT > HighCut** (remove noise, DCR, saturation...)

**Ongoing feasibility study of the ToT technique for the readout of 5000 PMTs in SAND (DUNE)**




# ToT Analysis Setup







**Common Start Acquisition:** start on Ch0 with fixed amplitude, stop on Ch1 and Ch2 (dual threshold) with variable amplitude (max = 3.85 V). Delay = 13 ns

1. **Sweep:** acquire **ToT** and  $\Delta T$  (**ToA**) at different amplitudes (from 0 to 54 dB, 3 dB step)
2. Fit points and build **ToT-Walk (ToA)** and **ToT-Ampl** curves
3. Use curves to **correct Walk** from ToT (replace CFD)
4. Use curves to **get Amplitude** from ToT (make ADC from TDC)




# ToT Calibration Curves

## Double Threshold






# Walk Correction






- Pulses at 6 different amplitudes over a 50 dB dynamic range
- $\sim 2$  ns spread on  $\Delta T$  (ToA) caused by the walk effect: 6 separate peaks !!
  - timing resolution totally destroyed
- $\Delta T$  corrected by ToT using a 5<sup>th</sup> order polynomial fit of the **ToT-Walk** points taken at threshold = 5 mV
- Corrected  $\Delta T$  histogram presents one single peak:
  - 18 ps RMS over 50 dB dynamic range**

# Amplitude Reconstruction



# A5204 Block Diagram



# A5204 Timing Resolution

