

# National input from the United Kingdom to the 2026 Update to the European Strategy for Particle Physics

February 18, 2025

This document summarises the input of the UK High Energy Physics community to the 2026 Update to the European Strategy for Particle Physics (ESPPU). The UK process began with an initial workshop hosted by the IPPP in Durham in September 2024, aiming to bring together the experimental and theoretical community to discuss the physics and technological opportunities and challenges associated with the future of High Energy Physics. This was followed by two community drafting days in November 2024 and January 2025. These drafting days focussed on the questions provided by the European Strategy Group (ESG) on both collider and non-collider physics along with additional topics outside the direct scope of the questions but relevant to the future roadmap. These include detector R&D; software and computing; industrial return, and public engagement and outreach. The drafting was facilitated by a drafting team which had representation from both plenary and Early-Career Researcher (ECR) UK ECFA delegates and the STFC Particle Physics Advisory Panel (PPAP). For the first submission (31st March 2025) answers to most questions are provided (including q3a- the next high-priority collider at CERN) but prioritisation of alternative options if this is not feasible under various scenarios, and prioritisation of non-collider and complementary areas of exploration, are not provided. These will be discussed further in the next community drafting meeting on 28th April (when further information will be available following community submissions) and updated ahead of the Open Symposium.

# 1 Executive Summary

The UK particle physics community strongly supports a bold and forward-looking European strategy that maintains CERN as the global centre for collider physics and ensures a balanced, vibrant, and innovative research ecosystem. It is paramount to fully exploit the High-Luminosity LHC (HL-LHC) to maximise scientific returns from this flagship facility. The community endorses investment in the infrastructure for the Future Circular Collider (FCC) as a long-term vision for advancing collider physics and the energy frontier.

Beyond collider physics, the UK community emphasises the importance of a strong and sustainable non-collider particle physics programme, which has the potential for groundbreaking discoveries in the next 10-20 years and plays a crucial role in training early career researchers (ECR) and developing critical skills. The community calls for sustained investment in cutting-edge R&D in accelerator, detector, and computing technologies, recognising that without a critical mass of support, the field will not be able to achieve its transformative potential.

The UK emphasises the importance of sustained support for particle physics theory, which provides the foundation for future discoveries, as well as emerging cross-disciplinary themes with the potential to transform high energy physics (HEP). These include areas such as astroparticle physics, quantum technologies for fundamental physics, and other innovative fields that can drive breakthroughs in our understanding of the universe.

The UK's input is structured as follows. Section 2 summarises our overarching priorities for the future and sets the context for the answers to the ESG questions provided in Sections 3 and 4 for the future collider programme and complementary areas of exploration, respectively. Section 5 presents additional considerations for the future roadmap that should be built into planning.

## 2 Priorities for the future

Our strategy for the future is driven by our physics goals. As a field we have the ambition to thoroughly and systematically test the limits of applicability of the Standard Model (SM) and push our sensitivity to directly and indirectly search for Beyond-the-Standard Model (BSM) physics to the highest achievable energies. Key goals for the coming decades include searching for dark matter across the broad range of available masses and couplings, furthering our understanding of electroweak symmetry breaking through detailed characterisation of the Higgs (including its self-coupling), establishing the stability of the EW vacuum (and its implications for cosmology), and elucidating the mysteries of the neutrino sector (including understanding the origin and nature of neutrinos and their masses and probing CP violation in the lepton sector).

On the future collider front, these goals can be achieved through the priorities established in the previous ESPPU: that an  $e^+e^-$  Higgs factory should be the next highest priority machine and that the long-term aim should be to push energy frontier exploration to the highest achievable level. There is a strong sentiment in the UK that CERN should remain at the forefront of energy frontier exploration, crucial to address many of the questions above. An  $e^+e^-$  Higgs factory could be realised as a circular collider such as FCC-ee, or a linear collider such as CLIC or ILC; projections for all of these give similar core Higgs physics programmes and propose top-quark running. In addition, a Z-pole run would yield very high statistics with a circular machine, while a linear machine could be staged to run at higher energies for Higgs pair production. Options for an energy-frontier machine include a next-generation hadron collider, or a muon collider; both necessitate extensive R&D.

Continuing in the spirit of the last ESPPU, as its highest priority the UK reaffirms its support for the full exploitation of the LHC and HL-LHC programme across all four large experiments in order to receive return on the investment of resources. This remarkable machine and its detector systems have a proven track-record in successfully delivering, and often exceeding, their performance and research goals. The future LHC programme offers opportunities that will likely be unparalleled for several generations such as direct and indirect new particle searches, precision SM measurements, and heavy-ion physics. The probing of the Higgs self-coupling is a standout example of a measurement for which the highest attainable precision at the LHC should be pursued. Therefore, based on current projections (which will be updated by 31st March 2025), the delivery of a minimum of  $3000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  at each of ATLAS and CMS and  $300 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  at LHCb should be a priority. The UK community encourages timely implementation of the upgrades required for full exploitation of the HL-LHC.

53 The UK community also has significant involvement in proposed experiments and facilities to further exploit  
54 the HL-LHC, including the Forward Physics Facility (FPF) which provides unique opportunities to generate  
55 neutrinos at very high energy and enables searches for new physics scenarios that would otherwise be missed.

56 An overarching theme in UK discussions was prioritising breadth of the programme. As an example, whilst  
57 an  $e^+e^-$  collider provides a compelling programme of precision measurements in the EW/Higgs/Top/flavour  
58 sector with sensitivity to new physics at very high mass scales ( $O(50-100)$  TeV), complementary and unique sensi-  
59 tivity can also be accessed through dedicated quark-flavour, neutrino, and non-collider experiments including  
60 the precision muon/kaon and EDM programmes. In the quest for dark matter there is strong complementarity  
61 between the sensitivity of next generation direct dark matter experiments, energy frontier colliders, and non-  
62 collider and beam-dump experiments targeting challenging scenarios (particularly lower masses and couplings)  
63 that would otherwise remain unexplored. Planned experiments exploiting quantum technologies can also play  
64 a key role in ensuring the broad parameter space is probed. Similarly in neutrino physics there is comple-  
65 mentarity between the long-baseline programmes at DUNE and hyper-K and the sensitivity to (absolute) mass  
66 measurements that can be achieved through single- and double-beta decay experiments.

67 The timescales for future programmes being discussed in this ESPPU mean that it is imperative that a  
68 future roadmap for high-energy physics has the support of ECRs, who should see their voice reflected in the  
69 priorities of the strategy that they will ultimately carry out. The UK ECR community are primarily concerned  
70 with guaranteeing the continuity of exciting particle physics research and sustained opportunities for career  
71 progression in our field. A clear path forward must be cemented to give ECRs confidence in their career  
72 prospects, ensuring that requisite training, funding, and positions are secured. To sustain the population,  
73 expertise, and enthusiasm required to overcome the challenges the next major CERN project will present, the  
74 ECR community needs certainty that collider physics has an immediate future beyond the HL-LHC. Thus, it  
75 is essential that the next major collider project in Europe is decided upon and advances as quickly as possible.  
76 UK ECRs further stress the importance of a broad HEP programme in Europe, with extensive UK involvement.  
77 Smaller, non-collider experiments carried out in parallel to, or in-between, collider projects will offer continuity  
78 for ECRs during long stages of collider R&D. These will also provide an excellent and broad training opportunity,  
79 ensuring we remain a community of researchers with great diversity of experimental and theoretical expertise.

80 A key theme in UK discussions was ensuring sufficient resources for the theoretical and technological R&D  
81 needed to successfully deliver the future roadmap. HEP is both inspired by and reliant upon theoretical  
82 developments which must be fully supported. Similarly, our future programmes are facilitated by our R&D  
83 activities today across detector technology and software and computing. For instrumentation the opportunities  
84 raised by the DRD collaborations and by quantum sensors have been stressed strongly in the UK community.  
85 These topics are discussed in detail in Section 5 (i.e. after the UK responses to the ESG questions) and support  
86 for these activities should be built into planning of the core programme.

## 87 **3 Future collider programme**

### 88 **3.a Which is the preferred next major/flagship collider project for CERN?**

89 **There is strong support in the UK for a new large circumference tunnel at CERN, the FCC**  
90 **tunnel, as a major infrastructure for the future of collider particle physics.** The community has  
91 a large contingent in support of the integrated program of FCC-ee followed by FCC-hh, as well as a large  
92 contingent in favour of considering FCC-hh as the next collider at CERN. A key driver in UK discussions on  
93 this question was a desire for CERN to retain its position as a leading global centre for particle physics, which  
94 means investing in infrastructure for future colliders beyond the HL-LHC, combined with a strong request  
95 from the ECR community (noted in Section 2) to commit to a decision to move forwards. The opportunities  
96 and risks associated with committing to the FCC tunnel at this stage were discussed extensively. Inspiration  
97 and training the next generation of physicists is a key consideration, which can be well-served by a new large  
98 infrastructure. There were also discussions on the in-built risk-mitigation possible with FCC due to options to  
99 adjust the staging/timescales of the project in response to external factors (discussed further in later sections).

100 Given the need to minimise the time between the end of LHC data taking and the start of operations of

101 the FCC, the immediate priority is to secure funding and begin civil engineering of the FCC tunnel. It is,  
102 however, critical that the extra resources required are not diverted from other parts of the European particle  
103 physics programme; a healthy and robust European particle physics ecosystem requires a breadth of exciting  
104 non-collider experiments as well as a flagship collider. It is essential for both ethical reasons and public relations  
105 that environmental concerns are fully taken into account.

106 It is possible that the FCC will be impossible to realise due to excessive cost - financial or environmental. It  
107 is also possible that technological issues (e.g. slow R&D for FCC-hh dipoles, a breakthrough in muon cooling  
108 or plasma acceleration, etc.) or updated project costings may change the balance. It is therefore essential that  
109 the decision is kept under review, particularly before significant investment has been made in the FCC. This  
110 will be discussed further in responses to subsequent questions.

### 111 **3.b What are the most important elements in the response to 3.a?**

112 The endorsement of FCC (subject to the boundaries mentioned in the previous section) is driven by the excite-  
113 ment associated with the physics programme and its fit with the future priorities outlined in Section 2. In this  
114 section, specific considerations across the categories provided by the ESG are highlighted but not prioritised  
115 (as they are all key considerations for the future programme).

116 i **Physics potential:** FCC-ee will enable detailed characterisation of the Higgs (improving and extending the  
117 progress that will be made at the HL-LHC) including measurements of the mass, width and couplings. The  
118 improvements in precision for Higgs/Top/EW/flavour measurements at FCC-ee are consistent with aims to  
119 push indirect sensitivity to the highest achievable level, whilst FCC-hh would provide unprecedented direct  
120 sensitivity to high-mass BSM. Accessing the Higgs self coupling should remain a priority for energy frontier  
121 exploration. On 31st March we will see updated HL-LHC projections from ATLAS and CMS (expected  
122 to surpass previous projections) but the FCC-hh target of 5% for this parameter would represent a huge  
123 leap in our ability to understand electroweak symmetry breaking (EWSB). An electron hadron collider  
124 (FCC-eh) would be a natural accompaniment to the FCC-hh and would be an integral component of the  
125 FCC programme overall. It would have a compelling Higgs, Standard Model and BSM physics programme  
126 that complements FCC-hh and FCC-ee in many areas. Its sensitivity to proton structure extends to parton  
127 momentum fraction values,  $x$ , as low as  $10^{-7}$ , where new discoveries in strong interaction dynamics are  
128 guaranteed, also providing the only realistic pathway to a well-understood initial state for the FCC-hh.

129 ii **Long-term perspective:** Provided it can be balanced with the breadth of the programme, FCC could  
130 provide decades of exciting high-impact energy frontier exploration shortly after the HL-LHC.

131 iii **Financial and human resources: requirements and effect on other projects** Preparations for the  
132 next collider at CERN need to be balanced with ongoing commitments to the HL-LHC. A schedule for the  
133 FCC has been developed taking constraints from the HL-LHC into account.

134 iv **Timing:** Long gaps ( $\gtrsim$  a decade) in CERN's accelerator programme could put retention of skills in  
135 accelerator and detector R&D at risk, and should be avoided, and the ECR community has expressed a  
136 request for commitment to a future collider project. The FCC would provide continuity. However in order  
137 for HEP to remain exciting and attract new researchers, commitments to FCC should still leave resources for  
138 the smaller short/medium term projects (discussed later) needed to maintain the breadth of the programme.

139 v **Careers and training:** HEP must remain a desirable and viable career for aspiring scientists, providing  
140 adequate employment opportunities at all stages. If combined with a broad programme including shorter  
141 term smaller scale projects, FCC could provide an exciting long-term collider programme for the field.

142 vi **Sustainability:** Sustainability is an underlying focus that must be properly funded and embedded into  
143 any flagship HEP experiment. Major construction projects are not often science-led. In such a flagship  
144 experiment Europe is provided with a unique opportunity to develop and lead sustainable construction  
145 approaches. R&D to accomplish long-term sustainable accelerator and computing infrastructure must be  
146 funded and we expect this to be built into future FCC plans.

147 **3.c Should CERN/Europe proceed with the preferred option set out in 3.a or**  
148 **should alternative options be considered:**

- 149 i If Japan proceeds with the ILC in a timely way?  
150 ii If China proceeds with the CEPC on the announced timescale?  
151 iii If the US proceeds with a muon collider?  
152 iv If there are major new (unexpected) results from the HL-LHC or other HEP experiments?

153 The broad sentiment of the UK community's discussion is that Europe should take measures to maintain its  
154 global lead in collider physics regardless of decisions in other regions and without waiting for the final results  
155 from the HL-LHC. If major non-European collider projects proceed then the UK community would wish to  
156 collaborate on them. However, the next flagship collider at CERN should be complementary to major efforts  
157 elsewhere, and not an identical type of project.

158 With this in mind the UK community plans to discuss this question in the context of whether any of these  
159 developments would make proceeding with infrastructure for FCC an unfeasible route for CERN. **The scenario**  
160 **where CEPC goes ahead is discussed in Q3.e below as this could a scenario where the FCC-ee**  
161 **would be deemed unfeasible due to international developments (as there would be another circular**  
162  **$e^+e^-$  collider being prepared on faster or similar timescales) .** The scenario of ILC being pursued in  
163 Japan will be further discussed in the April meeting. As the next step in muon collider realisation is a 6D-  
164 cooling demonstrator, muon collider developments would be unlikely to impact our response to Q3.a. Successful  
165 demonstration and subsequent R&D could place a muon collider on similar timescales to FCC-hh.

166 Our response to major new unexpected results has not yet been discussed within the UK community and  
167 would depend on the nature of the results. In our next community meeting on 28th April, we will discuss  
168 extension to our responses this question (where we will provide specific answers to the four scenarios above)  
169 and Q3.e (which will prioritise alternative options in the scenario that FCC is deemed unfeasible or delayed).

170 **3.d Beyond the preferred option in 3.a, what other accelerator R& D topics (e.g.**  
171 **high-field magnets, RF technology, alternative accelerators/colliders) should**  
172 **be pursued in parallel?**

173 This section assumes no preferred option for a future flagship experiment, focussing instead on the accelerator  
174 R&D required to enable world leading HEP experiments in Europe. Delivery of any world-leading accelerator-  
175 based facility requires sustained activity and global collaboration. Funding in accelerator R&D must be increased  
176 and secured to meet the demands of any European flagship accelerator-based HEP experiment

177 Fundamental to the success of a future flagship experiment is funding more intensive R&D in several areas  
178 including high field magnets, high temperature superconductors, and efficient RF systems. Of particular impor-  
179 tance is the increased emphasis on R&D focussed on improving the sustainability of accelerators, in the areas  
180 of thin-film superconducting RF cavities, high efficiency klystrons, fast reactive tuners and permanent magnets.  
181 Superconducting technologies are fundamental to all future flagship options. The development of a large scale  
182 cryogenics test facility is required as testing is a bottleneck in Europe for superconducting magnets and RF.

183 Significant scientific advancement is historically due to disruptive technology. To innovate and lead, Eu-  
184 rope must commit to funding R&D in disruptive accelerator technologies, including but not limited to: muon  
185 acceleration, plasma based acceleration, high-energy recovery Linacs, and terahertz acceleration. Novel accel-  
186 eration techniques provide a route to future discovery potential within and beyond the current scope and meet  
187 the research criteria of ECRs. The realisation of novel accelerator technologies requires the development of  
188 demonstrators. Europe should support construction of proof-of-principle experiments such as, but not limited  
189 to, a muon cooling demonstrator, as well as exploiting the relevant existing accelerator test facilities, such as  
190 CLARA and EPAC in the UK. Funding and commitment to facility based experiments provide a path to wider  
191 collaboration and innovation.

192 The benefits of accelerator R&D outside of HEP should be emphasised to support arguments of funding  
193 synergy and return on investment. High field magnet and high temperature superconductor technology have  
194 applications in fusion. Novel solenoid technologies required for a muon accelerator have medical applications.  
195 Greater links with supporting industries must be established and nurtured if Europe is to benefit from its own  
196 investment in any flagship accelerator-based HEP experiment.

### 197 **3.e What is the prioritised list of alternative options if the preferred option set** 198 **out in 3.a is not feasible (due to cost, timing, international developments, or** 199 **for other reasons)?**

200 **During the second community drafting day in January the decision was made to postpone any**  
201 **prioritisation of alternative options until the next community meeting on 28th April when ad-**  
202 **ditional information will be available.** This section currently summarises key considerations raised on  
203 possible scenarios that might require adjustments to the preferred plan.

204 The constraints arising from the various possible scenarios could lead to different alternative options and so  
205 they should be considered separately:

- 206 • **[Cost/ technical unfeasibility]- FCC is unaffordable or technically unfeasible:** In this case, a Linear  
207 Collider Facility is an less expensive alternative route to an  $e^+e^-$  Higgs factory at CERN, can be realised on  
208 the same timescale or even sooner, and provides attractive possibilities for future energy upgrades.
- 209 • **[International developments]- CEPC is realised:** In this case, efforts could be increased to realise  
210 FCC-hh on a shorter timescale; discussion would be needed on the technical roadmap required and the  
211 commercial availability, cost, and field-strength of magnets, and the corresponding collision energies that  
212 could be achieved. An alternative would be to build a Linear Collider Facility at CERN with initial collision  
213 energy  $> 500$  GeV, as a complementary facility to CEPC.
- 214 • **[Timing]- Timescales for FCC are pushed back:** If FCC goes ahead but on a slower timeline that would  
215 leave a significant gap in collider facilities, then it could also be desirable to pursue options that would extend  
216 the capabilities of the HL-LHC and sustain physics exploitation in the intervening period. Possibilities include  
217 the FPF and the LHeC. The FPF was already mentioned in Section 2 as a compelling (and cost-effective)  
218 route to further exploit the HL-LHC with wide-ranging physics capabilities. For LHeC, an electron-proton/ion  
219 collider utilizing the LHC proton (ion) beam and a new energy recovery linear accelerator has been proposed  
220 as a powerful addition to CERN's physics programme. In scenarios with a longer gap between the HL-LHC  
221 and CERN's next major collider, this would provide a compelling intermediate facility, provided its technical  
222 feasibility is established.

223 In all cases, extra investment in accelerator technology R&D can be considered to bring forward further  
224 options for future colliders. **In either scenario, whether the FCC is deemed unfeasible or its timescales**  
225 **are delayed, the community should consider the option of expanding and further diversifying**  
226 **non-collider particle physics discussed in Section 4a to maintain breadth and output in the field.**  
227 Prioritisation of this programme will be discussed at the next UK community meeting on 28 April.

### 228 **3.f What are the most important elements in the response to 3.e?**

229 This section will be updated after the April community meeting to justify the prioritisation of alternative options  
230 that will be provided as answers to question 3.e. Currently, this section briefly lists considerations across the  
231 categories provided by the ESG that have been highlighted as important when reviewing alternative options.

- 232 i **Physics potential:** Alternative scenarios should remain compatible with the physics priorities set out in  
233 Section 2. Regarding LHeC as an extension to the HL-LHC programme, this would significantly improving  
234 knowledge of proton and nuclear structure and provide crucial input for fundamental physics when combined  
235 with LHC data. With a broad and unique physics program, it complements the EIC project in the US and  
236 enhances the physics potential of a future hadron collider.

- 237 ii **Long-term perspective:** It was reinforced by the ECR community that if a future collider at CERN were  
 238 delayed or unfeasible it is essential to provide a continuity of broad experimental opportunities to avoid the  
 239 field shrinking, mitigate a loss of expertise and ensure continued, attractive job and training prospects.
- 240 iii **Financial and human resources: requirements and effect on other projects:** Alternative scenarios  
 241 should remain compatible with current commitments (particularly the HL-LHC).
- 242 iv **Timing:** As in question 3.b, avoiding long gaps in the CERN programme should remain a priority, so  
 243 programmes that sustain physics exploitation should be considered.
- 244 v **Careers and training:** As noted throughout our input, maintaining a broad programme with adequate  
 245 opportunities for training and career development of researchers is key.
- 246 vi **Sustainability:** This should remain a central consideration when comparing alternative options.

## 247 4 Complementary areas of exploration and non-collider priorities

### 248 4.a What other areas of physics should be pursued, and with what relative pri- 249 ority?

250 **A key message in UK discussions is that diversity of our physics programme should remain a**  
 251 **priority in the coming decades.** Due to the variation and complementarity of these projects, no prioritisation  
 252 has yet been attempted, and instead this section highlights key areas the UK would like to see supported. This  
 253 answer will be updated (including prioritisation where possible) following our next community meeting.

254 The discovery of neutrino oscillations, and thus the existence of non-zero neutrino mass, remains the most  
 255 compelling evidence for BSM physics. Over the next 15 years, the field should focus on addressing fundamental  
 256 questions in neutrino physics: understanding the nature of neutrino mass; measuring CP violation in the  
 257 neutrino sector and its possible implications for the matter-antimatter asymmetry in the universe; determining  
 258 the ordering of neutrino masses; increase the precision of determination of mixing angles and mass-squared  
 259 splittings; and exploring potential connections to underlying symmetries. To achieve these goals, Europe should  
 260 prioritise its leading contributions to the construction and scientific exploitation of the long-baseline neutrino  
 261 oscillation experiments DUNE and Hyper-K, as well as to at least one, preferably two, neutrinoless double beta  
 262 decay experiments capable of fully probing the inverted ordering parameter space for Majorana neutrino masses.  
 263 This programme is highly complementary to the collider physics goals and should be regarded as a high priority  
 264 for non-collider particle physics activities in Europe.

265 In the longer term, Europe should identify the scientific drivers for the neutrino physics programme be-  
 266 yond the currently planned oscillation and neutrino mass experiments. This long-term strategy should focus on  
 267 achieving the precision needed in measurements of  $\delta^{\text{CP}}$  and other oscillation parameters, as well as attaining  
 268 absolute neutrino mass sensitivity that encompasses most of the normal ordering parameter space. Such ad-  
 269 vancements could provide crucial insights into the origins and theoretical framework underlying neutrino and  
 270 other fermion masses. To achieve this, a comprehensive R&D programme should be actively pursued, focusing  
 271 on innovative neutrino beam technologies, such as neutrino factories, and advanced techniques for measuring  
 272 absolute neutrino mass through both double- and single-beta decay experiments. The CERN Neutrino Plat-  
 273 form should continue to play a central role, supporting this programme in the medium term for DUNE and  
 274 HyperK, while also driving the development of next-generation accelerator and detector technologies beyond  
 275 these experiments in the longer term.

276 Direct dark matter searches and collider searches offer complementary approaches to uncovering the nature  
 277 of dark matter, each probing different aspects of potential dark matter interactions. While collider experiments  
 278 explore dark matter production in controlled high-energy environments, providing insight into its possible  
 279 particle nature and interactions, direct detection experiments aim to observe dark matter interactions with  
 280 ordinary matter in underground detectors, probing astrophysical dark matter candidates. This synergy is crucial  
 281 for a comprehensive search strategy, ensuring sensitivity to a wide range of dark matter scenarios. Direct dark  
 282 matter detection must remain a key pillar of the European particle and astroparticle physics strategy, leveraging

283 cutting-edge detector technologies and deep underground facilities to complement collider-based efforts in the  
284 quest to identify and understand dark matter. With significant advancements expected in the coming 10-20  
285 years, this field holds the potential for a breakthrough discovery that could reshape our understanding of the  
286 universe. In this context, the UK has a strong ambition to host a next-generation dark matter experiment,  
287 XLZD, at the Boulby Underground Laboratory.

288 Incorporating emerging quantum technologies into this strategy will be critical for addressing a broad range  
289 of fundamental physics questions. Quantum sensors and precision measurement techniques can expand the  
290 scope of dark matter searches, enabling the detection of candidates such as axions and ultra-light dark matter,  
291 while also enhancing neutrino mass measurements and providing novel probes of fundamental constants and  
292 the laws of quantum mechanics.

293 In the next 25 years, Europe’s physics beyond colliders (PBC) strategy should focus on a diverse set of  
294 experiments that complement and extend discoveries at energy-frontier colliders. These experiments uniquely  
295 probe BSM scenarios and parameter space that high-energy colliders cannot access, including Feebly Interacting  
296 Particles (FIPs), Freeze-In Massive Particles (FIMPs), Quirks, milli-charged particles, Long-Lived Particles  
297 (LLPs), Electric Dipole Moments (EDMs), dark-sector phenomena, and extremely rare muon and kaon decays.  
298 By leveraging existing and planned accelerator infrastructures at CERN, PSI, FNAL, J-PARC, ESS, and BNL,  
299 these experiments offer a cost-effective yet powerful approach to expanding the physics landscape, enhancing  
300 sensitivity to new physics in ways that energy-frontier colliders alone cannot achieve. A cohesive European  
301 strategy for PBC will ensure that these efforts remain well-integrated with collider programmes, maximising  
302 the potential for groundbreaking discoveries in particle physics.

#### 303 **4.b What are the most important elements in the response to 4.a?**

304 This section will be further expanded following the community meeting in April, where an attempt at prioritisation  
305 will be made. For this draft, the key elements motivating a diverse programme of larger-scale and smaller  
306 scale non-collider projects are briefly summarised using the same categories as Q3.b.

- 307 i **Physics potential:** The physics potential of non-collider experiments is often complementary to energy-  
308 frontier colliders in terms of direct or indirect BSM sensitivity, either through probing different processes or  
309 directly targeting BSM scenarios that are challenging in colliders.
- 310 ii **Long-term perspective:** Maintaining programme breadth is key for the long-term health of the field.
- 311 iii **Financial and human resources: requirements and effect on other projects:** Experiments that  
312 mostly use existing large-scale resources and infrastructure are cost-effective.
- 313 iv **Timing:** Several of the planned programs will continue beyond HL-LHC and will thus provide continuity  
314 in the particle physics programme, avoiding long gaps without running experiments which is important in  
315 attracting future students to the field.
- 316 v **Careers and training:** Smaller-scale experiments provide important training opportunities in R&D, con-  
317 struction and commissioning that will be required to realise the future energy-frontier collider programme.
- 318 vi **Sustainability:** Similar to the cost-effectiveness mentioned above, the environmental impact of experiments  
319 that use existing infrastructure is reduced. Maximising the environmental sustainability of future HEP  
320 projects is especially important for ECRs.

#### 321 **4.c To what extent should CERN participate in nuclear physics, astroparticle** 322 **physics or other areas of science, while keeping in mind and adhering to the** 323 **CERN Convention?**

324 CERN’s role in nuclear physics, astroparticle physics, and other interdisciplinary fields has historically been  
325 shaped by its core mission in particle physics. Its unique accelerator infrastructure, expertise, and collaborative  
326 model have enabled impactful contributions beyond collider physics. The current level of CERN’s engagement in



327 these areas provides a valuable balance, leveraging existing facilities and capabilities without diverting focus from  
328 its primary objectives. The UK plays a key role in ISOLDE, which enables cutting-edge nuclear structure and  
329 reaction studies using the CERN accelerator complex. The fixed-target programme, which uses over 40% of the  
330 protons from CERN’s injector complex, represents a significant scientific contribution, particularly in areas such  
331 as nuclear astrophysics and applied nuclear physics (e.g., through n\_TOF). The UK also has strong engagement  
332 in antimatter research via the Antiproton Decelerator, as well as participation in ALICE, where heavy-ion  
333 collisions provide crucial insights into the quark-gluon plasma and fundamental nuclear matter properties.

334 CERN’s involvement in astroparticle physics presents another important opportunity. Building on the suc-  
335 cess of the Neutrino Platform, which played a crucial role in advancing neutrino physics following the last Euro-  
336 pean Strategy update, CERN’s expertise and infrastructure could similarly benefit key astroparticle initiatives.  
337 Close coordination with APPEC and its roadmap is essential to ensure CERN’s contributions are strategically  
338 aligned with European priorities in astroparticle physics. Joint efforts between CERN and APPEC can enhance  
339 European leadership in areas such as dark matter searches, high-energy cosmic ray interactions, and precision  
340 tests of fundamental symmetries. CERN also possesses critical infrastructure and expertise that can signifi-  
341 cantly benefit astroparticle detector R&D, construction, calibration, and commissioning. CERN’s test beam  
342 facilities provide unique opportunities for sensor development and performance validation in conditions relevant  
343 to astroparticle experiments. Additionally, CERN’s cryogenic expertise and large-scale cryogenic infrastructure,  
344 developed for collider experiments, can play a key role in supporting the next generation of low-temperature  
345 detectors for dark matter, neutrino physics, and other astroparticle searches. Given this existing engagement,  
346 CERN’s continued participation in nuclear physics, astroparticle physics, and related disciplines should remain  
347 at least at its current level. This ensures efficient use of its accelerator complex while maintaining alignment  
348 with its core mission in particle physics. The UK community strongly supports CERN’s multi-disciplinary  
349 contributions, particularly where they provide unique scientific opportunities not easily achievable elsewhere.

## 350 **5 Additional considerations for the future roadmap**

### 351 **5.a Particle Theory**

352 Particle theory is a cornerstone of the future collider program, providing the essential framework for formulating  
353 experimental goals and interpreting their results. A primary focus of theoretical research is precision calcula-  
354 tions within quantum chromodynamics (QCD) and the electroweak sector. Accurate predictions for collider  
355 observables such as cross-sections and decay rates, rely on fixed-order and resummed perturbative quantum  
356 field theory calculations. These are crucial for precision tests of the SM and identifying potential signals of new  
357 physics. Equally important are parton distribution functions (PDFs), which describe the momentum distribu-  
358 tion of quarks and gluons inside the proton. PDFs are indispensable for predicting hadronic collision outcomes  
359 and require continuous refinement through a combination of theoretical QCD input and experimental data.

360 Beyond the realm of perturbative techniques, non-perturbative physics plays an important role for state-  
361 of-the-art collider phenomenology. Lattice gauge theory provides a rigorous approach to calculating hadronic  
362 properties, confinement effects, and other strongly coupled phenomena that cannot be addressed through pertur-  
363 bation theory. Theoretical nuclear physics also contributes by modelling hadron interactions and multi-nucleon  
364 dynamics, which are particularly relevant in heavy-ion collisions and neutrino experiments.

365 In parallel, the field of particle theory is advancing data analysis and interpretation methods through incor-  
366 porating modern machine learning techniques. These approaches enhance the ability to detect subtle patterns  
367 and increase sensitivity to rare or unexpected signals in large, complex data sets. At the same time, theorists  
368 continue to develop new physics models aimed at addressing fundamental questions in nature, such as the origin  
369 of dark matter, the structure of space-time, and the nature of EWSB. These models guide experimental efforts  
370 by predicting characteristic signatures of potential new phenomena.

371 To ensure that theoretical advances are practically applicable in experimental contexts, precise calculations  
372 must be implemented in Monte Carlo event generators. These generators provide a critical interface between  
373 theory and experiment, allowing for detailed simulations of particle interactions. They require the integration  
374 of fixed-order and resummed QFT calculations, along with PDFs, to produce accurate predictions of collider

375 processes. As a result, they are indispensable tools for the global particle physics community.

376 This entire ecosystem of theoretical research is sustained by extensive collaborations involving researchers  
377 across European universities and institutions. These networks drive advancements in theory and play a vital role  
378 in training the next generation of physicists. Continued investment in theoretical particle physics is therefore  
379 essential to exploit the scientific opportunities of future colliders fully.

## 380 **5.b Detector R&D**

381 The discovery potential of experimental particle physics is driven by the capabilities of the available technologies.  
382 A significant outcome of the previous European Strategy has been the establishment of Detector R&D (DRD)  
383 collaborations across all relevant technologies, which are providing a crucial forum for information exchange.

384 The opportunity now exists to build on this through the development of focussed programmes for key  
385 technology items, with long-term cross-European collaboration. This is envisaged in the DRD concept and  
386 the next stages should have more significant funding agency engagement in dedicated resource review boards,  
387 followed by MoUs, with CERN leadership. The delivery of the programme relies on industry and relevant  
388 skills. This programme offers the opportunity for enhanced support for the development of innovation of  
389 technology with industry, and the training of instrumentation scientists for societal benefit. CERN should  
390 engage transparently with national industrial plans.

391 Significant opportunities in fundamental physics are being created by the emergence of quantum technologies.  
392 We propose that the CERN QTI programme is reframed around the support of the technologies required for  
393 such a programme, particularly quantum sensing, and the coordination of dedicated international experimental  
394 proposals. The Physics Beyond Colliders initiative is a good example of how such a coordination can lead to  
395 the formation of a community and emergence of multiple physics proposals.

## 396 **5.c Software & Computing**

397 Modern particle physics experiments rely heavily on advanced software and computing to operate and anal-  
398 yse the massive datasets they produce, already at the exabyte scale. Maximising the physics output of these  
399 requires leveraging cutting-edge computing technology for both real-time (trigger-level) and offline data pro-  
400 cessing. Progress in hardware and software is crucial for future research in our field. To remain competitive,  
401 we must embrace emerging technologies and collaborate across research and industry, given the rapid pace of  
402 computational advancements. The application of state-of-the-art machine learning has already demonstrated  
403 that detectors can now surpass their originally envisaged performance. Sustaining this progress requires con-  
404 tinuous monitoring of technological developments throughout the HL-LHC era, ensuring that the software and  
405 computing infrastructure developed in the coming decades serves as a foundation for future collider experiments.

406 Computing must be integrated into the planning and costing of experiments from the outset. This includes  
407 budgeting for software development, maintenance, and the necessary computing infrastructure. Long-term data  
408 preservation and accessibility, spanning decades, must also be considered.

409 Crucially, personnel are the most valuable asset. Adequate training and career development opportunities  
410 for software and computing professionals are essential to ensure continued expert support. This encompasses  
411 all personnel involved in managing the complex data pipelines, from online systems and distributed computing  
412 infrastructure operation to the development of software frameworks. Sharing common software and computing  
413 infrastructure, such as event generation and simulation tools, as well as generic reconstruction tools, across  
414 experiments can offer significant economies of scale.

## 415 **5.d Industrial return**

416 The second UK drafting meeting included a dedicated discussion on considerations related to industrial return  
417 in the context of the future roadmap. A key message was that engagement with industry is fundamental to the  
418 delivery of large scale experiments, and this requires communication of scientific and technological goals well in  
419 advance. With that in mind CERN and the European HEP community should engage with national industrial  
420 strategies such that planning for future projects such as FCC includes a clear plan for this.

## 421 **5.e Public engagement and outreach**

422 Whilst there will be more central community submissions on outreach and public engagement, its importance  
423 was highlighted in UK discussions in the context of “selling” the future collider roadmap to policy makers,  
424 funders and the public, and ensuring we continue to attract talented young people into the field. Europe must  
425 invest in all forms of public engagement to improve public opinions of science, illustrate the wider impact of  
426 research in order to justify the funding of large scale experiments, and inspire the next generation of scientists.

## 427 **6 Outlook**

428 Two remaining updates to the UK’s input to the ESPPU are envisaged:

- 429 • Following the community meeting on April 28th, the document will be revised ahead of the 26th May  
430 deadline for updating national inputs ahead of the Open Symposium.
- 431 • Following the release of the briefing book in September 2025 there will be a final community meeting to  
432 discuss possible revisions/updates to the draft, but we expect these to be minor.