

Axion Dark Matter

The good, the bad and new ways to detect it

Martin Bauer, 21.1.2025

QTFP Community Meeting 2025



What is dark matter ?

There is overwhelming evidence for the existence of dark matter at different scales







Rotation curves

gravitational lensing

Structure formation

What is dark matter

There is overwhelming evidence for the existence of dark matter at different scales







Rotation curves gravitational lensing Structure formation

We have currently no strong argument to prefer a specific fundamental model to describe dark matter

What can we say? How universal are our detection strategies? Are we missing something?

If Dark Matter is a thermal relic, it's mass is constrained from perturbativity and demanding non-relativistic dark matter today

$$\left(\frac{\Omega_X}{0.2}\right) \approx \frac{10^{-8} \,\mathrm{GeV}^{-2}}{\sigma}$$

$$0.1 \,\mathrm{eV}$$
 v $120 \,\mathrm{TeV}$ M_{Pl}

Extensive Programme

Direct detection

Indirect detection







Collider searches





What do we know about the scale of DM?



For **Fermions**, the Pauli exclusion principle provides a lower limit $m_{\chi} \gtrsim 200 \,\mathrm{eV}$

Dark **bosons** can be arbitrary light, but for a mass of

$$m_{\phi} \lesssim 10^{-25} \,\mathrm{eV}$$

the de Broglie wavelength is larger than a few hundred kpc and galaxy-size structures don't form.



For bosons there is no such lower limit.

There is however a scale that is particularly motivated:

$$m_{\phi} \approx 10^{-22} \,\mathrm{eV} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \lambda_{dB} = \frac{hc}{10^{-3}m_{\phi}} \approx 1 \,\mathrm{kpc}$$



For bosons there is no such lower limit. There is however a scale that is particularly motivated:

Galaxy

Gravity

Quantum pressure



The size of the core is set by the balance between quantum pressure and gravity

Self-interactions

Fit the small scale power spectrum:





May et al. 2021

Missing satellite problem





Core cusp problem

The de Broglie wavelength is large, but the occupation number is high.

For m < 30 eV, dark matter is described as a classical wave

$$\lambda_{\rm dB} \equiv \frac{2\pi}{mv} = 0.48 \,\rm{kpc} \left(\frac{10^{-22} \,\rm{eV}}{m}\right) \left(\frac{250 \,\rm{km/s}}{v}\right) = 1.49 \,\rm{km} \left(\frac{10^{-6} \,\rm{eV}}{m}\right) \left(\frac{250 \,\rm{km/s}}{v}\right)$$

$$N_{\rm dB} \sim \left(\frac{34\,{\rm eV}}{m}\right)^4 \left(\frac{250\,{\rm km/s}}{v}\right)^3$$



12

For very light scalar fields, the occupation number is very high and the field can be treated classically.

Dark Matter relic density from misalignment:

$$\ddot{a} + 3H(t)\dot{a} + m_a^2a = 0$$

$$H(t) > m_a$$

Solution a(t) = const.



early universe: Hubble friction

 $H(t) < m_a$

harm. oscillator: $a(t) = a_0 \cos(m_a t)$



late universe: oscillations

Cosmological implications

Mass is fixed by halo size

Amplitude is fixed by the dark matter energy density

The angular frequency is determined by the rest mass.

Small corrections from the kinetic energy

Coherence time is set by the frequency spread

$$m_a \gtrsim 10^{-22} \mathrm{eV}$$

$$\rho_a = \frac{1}{2} m_a^2 a_0^2 \stackrel{!}{=} \rho_{\rm DM} = 0.3 \frac{\rm GeV}{\rm cm^3}$$

$$\begin{split} \omega &\sim m_a \\ & & & & \\ \Delta \omega \\ \frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega} \sim \frac{m_a v^2/2}{m_a} \sim 10^{-6} \end{split}$$

$$\tau_c = \frac{2\pi}{\Delta\omega} = \frac{2\pi}{m_a v^2} \approx 1 \mathrm{s} \left(\frac{\mathrm{MHz}}{m_a}\right)$$

Axions or axion-like particles are excellent candidates for light dark matter

$$\phi = (f+s)e^{ia/f}$$

They are goldstone bosons: contributions to the axion mass are suppressed by the same scale that suppresses interactions

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} a \partial^{\mu} a + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{f}\right)^2 a^2 + c_{ee} \frac{\partial^{\mu} a}{f} \bar{e} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 e + \dots \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{small} \\ \text{mass} \end{array} = \begin{array}{l} \text{small} \\ \text{couplings} \end{array}$$



At leading order axions interact like pseudoscalars

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^{D\leqslant5}(\mu \lesssim \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu} a \right) \left(\partial^{\mu} a \right) - \frac{m_{a,0}^2}{2} a^2 \\ &+ \frac{\partial^{\mu} a}{2f} c_{ee} \,\bar{e} \,\gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 \, e + g_{Na} \frac{\partial^{\mu} a}{2f} \bar{N} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 N + c_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{eff}} \, \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \, \frac{a}{f} \, F_{\mu\nu} \, \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \end{aligned}$$

We assume theta = 0 and take running and matching into account

$$g_{Na} = g_0(c_{uu} + c_{dd} + 2c_{GG}) \pm g_A \frac{m_\pi^2}{m_\pi^2 - m_a^2} \left(c_{uu} - c_{dd} + 2c_{GG} \frac{m_d - m_u}{m_u + m_d}\right)$$



At leading order axions interact like pseudoscalars

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^{D\leqslant5}(\mu \lesssim \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu} a \right) \left(\partial^{\mu} a \right) - \frac{m_{a,0}^2}{2} a^2 \\ &+ \frac{\partial^{\mu} a}{2f} c_{ee} \,\bar{e} \,\gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 \, e + g_{Na} \frac{\partial^{\mu} a}{2f} \bar{N} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 N + c_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{eff}} \, \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \, \frac{a}{f} \, F_{\mu\nu} \, \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \end{aligned}$$

We assume theta = 0 and take running and matching into account

$$g_{Na} = g_0(c_{uu} + c_{dd} + 2c_{GG}) \pm g_A \frac{m_\pi^2}{m_\pi^2 - m_a^2} \left(c_{uu} - c_{dd} + 2c_{GG} \frac{m_d - m_u}{m_u + m_d} \right)$$

These interactions lead to spin-dependent observables in the non-relativistic limit

$$g_p a \bar{N} i \gamma_5 N \longrightarrow V_{pp}(r) \approx -\frac{g_p g_p}{4\pi M_N^2 r^3} \bigg[S_1 \cdot S_2 - 3S_1 \cdot \hat{r} \bigg]$$

Forces induced by axion exchange are difficult to discover, because they require experiments with polarised targets

$$g_p a \bar{N} i \gamma_5 N$$

$$V_{pp}(r) \approx -\frac{g_p g_p}{4\pi M_N^2 r^3} \left[S_1 \cdot S_2 - 3S_1 \cdot \hat{r} \right]$$



However, the exchange of two axions leads to spin-independent forces

$$V_2(r) \approx -\frac{C_{N_1}C_{N_2}}{64\pi^3 f^4} \frac{1}{r^3}$$

MB, Rostagni, Phys. Rev. Lett. 132 (2024) 101802, [2307.09516].



Fifth force bounds from axion-pair exchange can compete with single axion exchange because of the spinindependent potential





At leading order ALPs/axions interact like pseudoscalars

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^{D\leqslant5}(\mu \lesssim \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu} a\right) \left(\partial^{\mu} a\right) - \frac{m_{a,0}^2}{2} a^2 \\ &+ \frac{\partial^{\mu} a}{2f} c_{ee} \,\bar{e} \,\gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 \,e + g_{Na} \frac{\partial^{\mu} a}{2f} \bar{N} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 N + c_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{eff}} \,\frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \,\frac{a}{f} \,F_{\mu\nu} \,\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \end{aligned}$$

What about higher order terms? At dimension 6

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^{D=6}(\mu \leq \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}) = \bar{N}\left(C_N(\mu)\mathbb{1} + C_{\delta}(\mu)\tau\right)N\frac{a^2}{f^2} + C_E(\mu)\frac{a^2}{f^2}\bar{e}e + C_{\gamma}(\mu)\frac{a^2}{4f^2}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$$



All these couplings are related to the UV coupling structure

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^{D\leqslant5}(\mu\lesssim\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu}a\right)(\partial^{\mu}a) - \frac{m_{a,0}^{2}}{2} a^{2} \\ &+ \frac{\partial^{\mu}a}{2f} c_{ee} \,\bar{e} \,\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5} \,e + g_{Na} \frac{\partial^{\mu}a}{2f} \bar{N}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}N + c_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{eff}} \,\frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \,\frac{a}{f} \,F_{\mu\nu} \,\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^{D=6}(\mu \leq \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}) = \bar{N}\left(C_{N}(\mu)\mathbb{1} + C_{\delta}(\mu)\tau\right)N\frac{a^{2}}{f^{2}} + C_{E}(\mu)\frac{a^{2}}{f^{2}}\bar{e}e + C_{\gamma}(\mu)\frac{a^{2}}{4f^{2}}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$$

Requires careful running and matching, e.g.

$$C_N = -2c_1 c_{GG}^2 m_\pi^2 \left[1 - \left(\frac{m_u - m_d}{m_u + m_d} \right)^2 \right] \qquad C_E = -m_e \frac{3\alpha}{4\pi} C_\gamma \ln \frac{m_\pi^2}{m_e^2}$$

$$C_{\gamma}(\mu) = \frac{\alpha}{24\pi} c_{GG}^2 \left(-1 + 32c_1 \frac{m_{\pi}^2}{M_N}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\Delta_m^2}{\hat{m}^2}\right)$$

Resonant cavities

$$P_{a \to \gamma} = \frac{\alpha^2}{\pi^2} \frac{\left(c_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{eff}}\right)^2}{f^2} \frac{\rho_{\text{DM}}}{m_a} B_0^2 V C \min(Q_L, Q_a)$$





Flambaum et al, 2207.14437

ALP phenomenology

Quadratic axion interactions allow to extend the parameter space

$$C_{\gamma} \frac{a^2}{4f^2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = C_{\gamma} \frac{a^2}{2f^2} (E^2 - B^2)$$



1



MB, Chakraborti, Rostagni, "Axion Bounds from Quantum Technology,',

[arXiv:2408.06412 [hep-ph]]

$$P_{aa \to \gamma} \propto \left(\frac{C_{\gamma}}{f^2} \frac{\rho_{\rm DM}}{m_a}\right)^2 \left(B_0^2 + E_0^2\right) V C_{\phi} \min(Q_L, Q_a)$$

Uark maller.





Clocks and clock-cavity bounds

$$\frac{\delta\nu_{A/B}}{\nu_{A/B}} = k_{\alpha}\frac{\delta\alpha}{\alpha} + k_e\left(\frac{\delta m_e}{m_e} - \frac{\delta m_p}{m_p}\right) + k_q\left(\frac{\delta m_q}{m_q} - \frac{\delta\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}\right)$$

 $\Delta E = hv$

Unique sensitivity to ultra-light states via precision measurements of transition frequencies



MB, Chakraborti, Rostagni, "Axion Bounds from Quantum Technology,', [arXiv:2408.06412 [hep-ph]]

Ion clocks

$$\frac{\delta\nu_{A/B}}{\nu_{A/B}} = k_{\alpha}\frac{\delta\alpha}{\alpha} + k_{e}\left(\frac{\delta m_{e}}{m_{e}} - \frac{\delta m_{p}}{m_{p}}\right) + k_{q}\left(\frac{\delta m_{q}}{m_{q}} - \frac{\delta\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}\right)$$

Laser interferometers

Atom interferometers

$$\frac{\delta\omega_A(a)}{\omega_A} = \delta_e(a) + (2+\xi)\,\delta_\alpha(a)$$
$$\Phi_s = 4\,\overline{\omega_a}n\Delta r\sin^2\left(m_aT\right)$$









Dark matter absorption

Another way to observe dark matter is via absorption



Depending on the quantum numbers of the dark matter states these can be forbidden transitions

Software to automate the calculation of the overlap integrals and transition rates covering all dark matter candidates is now available

Conclusions

Light dark matter has very different properties compared to WIMPs. Most established searches are blind on this eye.

Axions or axion-like particles are interesting candidates. They appear in many UV extensions of the Standard Model

Quadratic interactions are important and lead to the dominant constraints at low masses

It's imperative to fully utilise our experimental capabilities to search and hopefully discover light dark matter.

...the bad

Axion dark matter has many desirable properties, but quadratic couplings imply non-perturbative effects

$$\left(\partial_t^2 - \Delta + \bar{m}_a^2(r)\right)a = J_{\text{source}}(r) \qquad \bar{m}_a^2(r) = m_a^2 + \sum_i \frac{Q_i^{\text{source}}\delta_i}{f^2}\rho_{\text{source}}(r)$$

Where the effective mass includes a contribution from the ALP-matter quadratic terms, so that close to a source (like earth)

$$a(r,t) = \frac{\sqrt{2\rho_{\rm DM}}}{m_a} \cos\left(m_a t\right) \left[1 - Z(\delta_i) J_{\pm}\left(\sqrt{3|Z(\delta_i)|}\right) \frac{R_{\rm source}}{r}\right]$$

With

$$Z(\delta_i) = \frac{1}{4\pi f^2} \frac{M_{\text{source}}}{R_{\text{source}}} \sum_i Q_i^{\text{source}} \delta_i$$

Hees, Minazzoli, Savalle, Stadnik, Wolf,, Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018) 064051, [1807.04512] Banerjee, Perez, Safronova, Savoray, Shalit, JHEP 10 (2023) 042, [2211.05174]

...the bad

For large field values the function J diverges. If the sign of Z is positive this leads to a suppression (screening) of the axion field close to massive bodies.

However for axions it is strictly negative

$$c_{GG} \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sum_{i} Q_i^{\text{source}} \delta_i < 0$$

The axion field value is displaced from its vacuum value due to the effective mass from the high density environment, so theta=0 isn't a valid assumption anymore.

The axion potential also leads to *attractive* selfinteractions



Hook, Huang, JHEP 06 (2018) 036, [1708.08464].

DM spin measurement

What if the ALP, dark photon is stable?

Angular distribution can distinguish tchannel from s-channel





Backgrounds for $e+e- \rightarrow X + \gamma$ at Belle II



Polarised beams eliminates background and distinguishes ALPelectron and photon interactions



Polarised beams eliminates background and distinguishes ALPelectron and photon interactions



Polarised beams eliminates background and distinguishes ALPelectron and photon interactions



Misalignment Mechanism

Action:	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{ g }} \mathscr{L} = (\partial^{\mu} \phi^*)(\partial_{\mu} \phi) - V(\phi) = (\partial^{\mu} \phi^*)(\partial_{\mu} \phi) - m_{\phi}^2 \phi^* \phi$
EL-equations:	$0 = \partial_t \left(\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial (\partial_t \phi^*)} \right) - \frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial \phi^*}$
	$= \partial_t \left(\sqrt{ g } \partial_t \phi \right) + \sqrt{ g } m_\phi^2 \phi$
	$= \left(\partial_t \sqrt{ g }\right) \left(\partial_t \phi\right) + \sqrt{ g } \partial_t^2 \phi + \sqrt{ g } m_\phi^2 \phi$
appr. flat $ g = a(t)^6$	$= \sqrt{ g } \left[\frac{(\partial_t \sqrt{ g })}{\sqrt{ g }} (\partial_t \phi) + \partial_t^2 \phi + m_{\phi}^2 \phi \right] .$ $= \frac{(\partial_t a^3)}{a^3} (\partial_t \phi) + \partial_t^2 \phi + m_{\phi}^2 \phi = \frac{3\dot{a}}{a} \dot{\phi} + \ddot{\phi} + m_{\phi}^2 \phi$

$$\left|\ddot{\phi}(t) + 3H\dot{\phi}(t) + m_{\phi}^2\phi(t) = 0\right|$$

yields: